

Effect of pre-harvest salicylic acid spray on shelf life and biochemical changes of litchi during storage

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted on litchi cv. China at Horticulture garden in the Department of Horticulture (Fruit and Fruit Technology), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, to show the shelf life and biochemical changes of litchi during storage. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with five treatments and four replications. Salicylic acid was sprayed twice i.e. 15 days and 30 days before anticipated harvesting time with different concentrations of salicylic acid (T₁- 50 ppm, T₂-100 ppm, T₃-150 ppm, T₄-200 ppm and T₅-control). Different concentrations have different effect on shelf life and biochemical parameters. Salicylic acid with T₃-150 ppm has increased TSS (20.02 °Brix), total sugar (13.10 %), ascorbic acid (38.21 mg/100 g pulp), anthocyanin (8.06 mg/100g pulp) and shelf life (4 days) on the 6th day of storage at ambient condition.

Keywords: Litchi, salicylic acid, biochemical parameters, shelf life

INTRODUCTION

As India has such a diverse climate, it is ideal for cultivation of different varieties of litchi. Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) belongs to sapindaceae family. It is native of Southern China. Its chromosome no. 2n=30 and type of fruit is one seeded nut and its edible part is fleshy aril. It is highly cross pollinated crop. Its name is derived from Chinese word “lee chee” which means “one who gives the pleasure of life”. It is grown in tropical and sub tropical regions. Due to its bright red peel colour and pleasant flavoured juicy aril this fruit is highly valued in national and international markets. Litchi fruit is very much liked as a table fruit as well as in dried and canned forms. Jam, jelly, squash and cordial are also prepared from this fruit. It is very nutritious and good source of vitamin C (64 mg/100 g pulp). It also contains vitamin E, B complex and trace amount of protein (0.7%), fat (0.3%) and minerals like phosphorous, calcium and iron. It is non climacteric type fruit; it does not ripe after harvesting from plants so it is harvested at ripe stage. Litchi is grown in different countries like China, West Indies, Myanmar, Japan, South Africa, Florida, Hawaii, etc. in the world; India is one among them. China is first and India is second largest producer of litchi fruits in the world. Litchi is very perishable in nature and it has very short shelf life. Litchi being non climacteric in nature it is harvested at ripe stage. Generally, the harvest maturity of the fruit is judged by the development of red colour on epicarp and flattened of the tubercles. After harvesting, the fruit turn brown within a couple of days due to loss of water from the pericarp. (Panwar *et al.* 2018) reported that salicylic acid decreases respiration rate by the closure of stomata. Salicylic acid (SA) is a natural plant hormone and it acts as signaling molecule. It makes the plants resistance against biotic and abiotic stress (Khan *et al.* 2012). SA helps in plant growth, ion uptake and nutrient transport in plants. It is phenolic

40 compound, and in plants it provides systemic resistance to fungal pathogens (Meena *et al.* 2014).
41 “Pre harvest application of various chemicals have been reported to enhance the shelf life of
42 fruits by reducing physiological loss in weight and decay losses during storage” (Gupta and
43 Metha, 1988).

44

45 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

46

47 The present investigation was carried out at the Horticulture Garden under the Department of
48 Horticulture (Fruit & Fruit Technology), Bihar Agricultural College, Bihar Agricultural
49 University, Sabour, Bhagalpur during the year of 2021-2022 with a view to study the pre-harvest
50 application of salicylic acid on shelf life and biochemical changes in litchi during storage.
51 Variety used for this experiment was “China”. The experiment was laid out with five treatments
52 (T₁-50 ppm SA, T₂- 100 ppm SA, T₃- 150 ppm SA, T₄- 200 ppm SA and T₅- control) and four
53 replications in randomized block design. Bihar Agricultural College Sabour is situated 25°50' N
54 latitude and 87°19' E longitude at an altitude of 52.73 m above mean sea level. It has an average
55 annual rainfall of 1348 mm precipitating mostly in between middle of June to middle of October.

56 Biochemical parameters namely titrable acidity (%) was calculated by the given titration method
57 (AOAC, 2000), ascorbic acidity (mg/100 g pulp) was estimated by using 2-6-dichlorophenol
58 indophenols dye method (Jones and Hughes, 1983), TSS (°Brix) was recorded with the help of
59 digital hand refractometer, TSS: Acid ratio was calculated by dividing TSS with titrable acidity,
60 total sugar (%) was estimate by Lane and Eyon (1923) copper titration method, anthocyanin
61 (mg/100 g pulp) was calculated by peel pH- differential method (Wrolstad *et al.* 2005) using two
62 different buffer systems: potassium chloride buffer (0.025 M, pH 1.0) and sodium acetate buffer
63 (0.4 M, pH 4.5), antioxidant (μ mol Trolox Eq. /g pulp) was determined by cupric reducing
64 antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC) method (Apak *et al.* 2008).

65

66 **Statistical analysis**

67 The experiment was laid out in RBD. Statistical analysis was performed in two way factorial
68 RBD method. Least significant difference was calculated following significant F-test ($p \leq 0.05$).
69 Effect of different treatments on various parameters and their interactions were assessed with
70 ANOVA. Standard errors were computed by MS-Excel. This RBD design was adopted as
71 suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

72

73 **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

74

75 **Total soluble solids (°Brix)**

76 Maximum (20.99 °Brix) TSS was in T₃-150 ppm while minimum (10.20) in T₅- control. It was
77 found that untreated (control) fruits exhibited a rapid increase in total soluble solids (TSS) from
78 the day of harvest and a sharp decline, thereafter during storage at ambient conditions compared

79 to the treated ones. The rapid and higher TSS in control fruits might be due to faster ripening
80 associated with the hydrolysis of starch into simple sugars. The delayed increase of TSS in SA
81 treated fruits might be due to inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis. A delayed increase in TSS of
82 SA treated fruits was reported in kiwifruit (Kazemi *et al.* 2011), peach (Khadami and Ershadi,
83 2013) and persimmon (Khademi *et al.* 2012).

84

85 **Total sugar (%)**

86 Total sugar increased with increase in storage period. Among all the treatments fruits treated
87 with T₃-150 ppm salicylic acid recorded highest (18.90 %) sugar as compared to other
88 treatments, while lowest (9.30 %) in control. “The increase in total sugars of fruits with SA may
89 be due to loss of water from the fruits and conversion of polysaccharides and pectic substances in
90 sugars. The higher rate of acceleration in SA treated fruits may be due to retardation in the rate
91 of normal changes of polysaccharides to total sugars because of its low rate of respiration and
92 oxidation in treated fruits” reported by Roe and Brummer (1981) and Yuvraj *et al.* (1999) in
93 mango fruits.

94

95 **Titration acidity (%)**

96 The highest (0.569 %) titration acidity was observed in treatment T₅-control whereas lowest
97 (0.255 %) in T₃-150 ppm. A continual decrease in titration acidity was noticed during storage at
98 ambient conditions. SA treated fruits shown a significant slower decline over the untreated fruits.
99 The reduction in acidity during storage after attainment of maturity and ripening may be due to
100 utilization of organic acids as a substrate (Islam *et al.* 2013). Similar results of greater titration
101 acidity in mango fruits were also reported by Barman (2013).

102

103 **Ascorbic acid (mg/100g pulp)**

104 Highest (10.10 mg/100g pulp) ascorbic acid was in treatment T₃-150 ppm salicylic acid whereas
105 lowest in T₅-control. Ascorbic acid was decreased with advancement of storage period. “It was
106 revealed that decrease in ascorbic acid was significantly higher throughout the storage period in
107 the fruits treated with SA compared to untreated ones. It could be possible due to retardation of
108 oxidation process and slow rate of conversion of L-ascorbic acid into dehydroascorbic acid
109 (DHA) by ascorbic acid oxidase. DHA concentration was maintained at higher levels in salicylic
110 acid treated fruits than in controls, leading to higher concentration in ascorbic acid throughout
111 the storage period” (Garcia-Pastor *et al.* 2020).

112

113 **Anthocyanin (µmol Trolox Eq. /g pulp)**

114 Highest (8.06 µmol Trolox Eq. /g pulp) anthocyanin was found in treatment T₄-200 ppm salicylic
115 acid treated fruits whereas lowest (1.50 µmol Trolox Eq. /g pulp) was found in untreated fruits.
116 “SA maintained fruit colour by retarding the degradation of chlorophyll that declined skin colour
117 changes throughout the storage and retarding senescence rate in table grapes” (Champa *et al.*
118 2015). Similar results were recorded by Ullah and Jawandha (2013), SA showed a slow rate of
119 conversion from green to yellow and chlorophyll degradation in peach fruits.

120

121 **PLW (Physiological loss in weight) (%)**

122 The lowest (13.97 %) physiological loss in weight was observed in treatment T₄-200 ppm while
123 highest (19.21 %) was in T₅-control. The higher PLW in untreated fruits might be due to active
124 metabolism such as respiration and transpiration, which might have led to greater loss of water
125 during storage in 'Amrapali' mango fruits (Singh and Tiwari, 1994). SA is an electron donor
126 produces free radicals which prevent normal respiration thus leading to lower weight loss
127 (Shafiee *et al.* 2010). The lower PLW per cent in SA treated fruits is due to reduced respiration,
128 transpiration and ethylene production (Srivastava and Dwivedi, 2000).

129

130 **Spoilage (%)**

131 "Fruits treated with different concentrations of SA significantly lowered decay incidence than
132 control. Highest decay (48.02 %) was in T₅-control whereas lowest (17.20%) in T₃-150 ppm SA
133 treated fruits. Salicylic acid helped in enhancement of expression of genes that control the
134 production of phenolic compounds by activating the phenylalanine ammonialyase enzyme,
135 increases resistance to infection" (Eraslan *et al.* 2007). "Dipping of pear fruit in the SA solution,
136 effectively controlled fruit decay during 5 months of cold storage" (Asghari *et al.* 2007). "SA
137 application had a positive effect in reducing berry decay" (Samra, 2015).

138

139 **Shelf life (Days)**

140 Different concentrations of SA have different effect on shelf life of litchi. Maximum (4 days)
141 shelf life of litchi was observed in T₃-150 ppm concentration over control. This enhancement
142 effect on shelf life period might be due to the role of SA reducing physiological weight loss of
143 fruits, the activity of oxidative enzymes and retarding fruit softening. Also, SA increased
144 phenolic compounds and enhanced resistant against pest and diseases. These results are same as
145 those of Perez-Vicente *et al.* (2002) who reported that, "exogenous polyamines applications
146 delayed color changes, reduced mechanical damage, chilling injury susceptibility and increase
147 shelf life in both climacteric and non-climacteric fruits". "The maximum shelf life in SA treated
148 fruits might be due to its ability to serve as a physical barrier around the fruit which reduce
149 transpiration and respiration activity along with delay in ethylene production. The positive effect
150 of SA on storage life could probably be due to the modifying the atmosphere. The modified
151 atmosphere created could, therefore, delay the ripening by delaying ethylene production and by
152 reducing the level of internal oxygen and consequently prolonging the storage life of fruit" (Gol
153 and Rao, 2011).

154

155 **CONCLUSION**

156

157 From the above findings it may be concluded that pre-harvest spray of salicylic acid on litchi
158 plant can enhance the shelf life and biochemical properties of fruits. Spray of salicylic acid T₃-
159 150 ppm concentration was found superior in biochemical parameters and shelf life during
160 storage at ambient condition. Biochemical parameters such as total soluble solids, total sugar,

161 titrable acidity, ascorbic acid, anthocyanin and shelf life, physiological loss in weight (PLW) and
162 spoilage.

163

164 REFERENCES

- 165
- 166 1. A.O.A.C . Official Methods of Analysis 17thed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg,
167 MD. 2000.
- 168 2. Apak R, Guclu K, Ozyurek M, Celik SE. Mechanism of antioxidant capacity assays and the CUPRAC
169 (Cupric ion reducing antioxidant capacity) assay . *Microchim Acta*. 2008; 160:413-419.
- 170 3. Asghari M, Shirzad H, Hajitagilo R. Postharvest treatment of salicylic acid effectively
171 controls pear fruit diseases and disorders during cold storage. Novel approaches for the
172 control of postharvest diseases and disorders. Proceedings of the international congress,
173 bologna, Italy, CRIOF, University of Bologna. 2007.
- 174 4. Barman K. Biologically safe approaches to control sap burn, chilling injury and
175 postharvest diseases of mango. Ph. D. thesis, IARI, New Delhi, India. 2013.
- 176 5. Champa WA, Gill MI, Mahajan BV, Arora NK. Preharvest salicylic acid treatments to
177 improve quality and postharvest life of stable (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Flame seedless.
178 *Journal of Food Science and Technol*. 2015; 52(6):3607-3616.
- 179 6. Eraslan F, Inal A, Gunes A, Alpaslan M. Impact of exogenous salicylic acid on the
180 growth, antioxidant activity and physiology of carrot plants subjected to combined
181 salinity and boron toxicity. *Science Hort*. 2007; 113:120-128.
- 182 7. Garcia-Pastor ME, Zapta PJ, Castillo S, Martinez-Romero D, Guillen F, Valero D. The
183 effect of salicylic acid and its derivatives on increasing pomegranate fruit quality and
184 bioactive compounds at harvest and during storage. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2020;
185 11:668.
- 186 8. Gol NB, Rao TVR. Banana fruit ripening as influenced by edible coatings. *International Journal of Fruit
187 Science* 2011; 11(2):119-135.
- 188 9. Gupta OP, Metha N. Effect of pre harvest applications on the shelf life of ber (*Zizyphus
189 mauritiana* Lamk) fruits cv. Gola . *Haryana . J. Hort. Sci.*1988; 17:183-189.
- 190 10. Islam Md K, Khan MH, Sarkar MAR, Absar N, Sarkar SK. Changes in acidity, TSS and
191 sugar content at different storage periods of the postharvest mango (*Mangifera indica* L.)
192 influenced by bavistin DF. *International Journal Food Science*.2013; 8:1-8.
- 193 11. Jones E, Hughes RE. Foliar ascorbic acid in some angiosperms. *Phytochem* 1983; 22: 2493-2499.

- 194 12. Kazemi M, Aran M, Zamani S. Effect of calcium chloride and salicylic acid treatment
195 and quality characteristics of kiwifruit (*Actinida deliciosa* cv. Hayward) during storage.
196 *American Journal of Plant Physiology*. 2011; 6:183-189.
- 197 13. Khadami Z, Ershadi A. Postharvest application of salicylic acid improves storability of
198 peach (*Prunus persica* cv. Elberta) fruits. *International Journal Agriculture Crop*
199 *Science*. 2013; 5-6:651-655.
- 200 14. Khademi O, Zamini Z, Mostofi Y, Kalantari S, Ahmad A. Extending storability of
201 persimmon fruit cv. Karaj by postharvest application of salicylic acid. *Journal*
202 *Agriculture Science Tech*. 2012; 14:1067-1074.
- 203 15. Khan N, Nazar R, Iqbal N, Anjum NA. Phytohormones and Abiotic Stress Tolerance in
204 Plants. *Springer*, Berlin, Heidelberg. 2012.
- 205 16. Lanes JH, Eyon L. Determination of reducing sugar by Fehling's solution with methylene blue indicator. *J.*
206 *Sci. Chem. Ind*. 1923; 42:32.
- 207 17. Meena D, Tiwari R, Singh OP. Effect of nutrient spray on growth, fruit yield and quality
208 of aonla. *Annals Plant and Soil Research*. 2014; 16: 242-245.
- 209 **18.** Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, Indian council of
210 Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 1967.
- 211 19. Panwar N, Rai PN, Kumar J, Shankar D, Singh MDP. Effect of different chemicals on
212 litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. rose scented. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and*
213 *Phytochemistry*. 2018; 7(4):1418-1422.
- 214 20. Perez -Vicente A, Martinez- Romero D, Carbonell A, Serrano M, Riquelme F, Guillen F. Role of
215 polyamines in extending shelf life and the reduction of mechanical damage during plum (*Prunus salicina*
216 L.) storage. *Post harvest Biol. Technol*. 2002; 25:25-32.
- 217 21. Roe B, Bruemmer JH. Changes in pectic substances and enzymes during ripening and
218 storage of "Keitt mangoes". *Journal of Food Science*. 1981; 46(1):186-189.
- 219 22. Samara BN. Impact of postharvest salicylic acid and jasmonic acid treatments on quality
220 of Crimson Seedless grapes during cold storage and shelf life. *International Journal of*
221 *Advance Research*. 2015; 3: 483:490.
- 222 23. Shafiee M, TS Taghave, Babler M. Application of SA to nutrient solution combined with
223 postharvest treatments (hot water, SA and Ca dipping) improved postharvest fruit quality
224 of strawberry. *Science Horticulture*. 2010; 124:40-45.

- 225 24. Singh J, Tiwari JP. Effect of ethephon on the post harvest quality of guava (*Psidium*
 226 *guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *Progressive Horticulture*. 1994; 26:189-193.
- 227 25. Srivastava KM, Dwivedi UN. Delayed ripening of banana fruits by salicylic acid. *Plant*
 228 *Science*. 2000; 158:87-96.
- 229 26. Ullah S, Jawandha SK. Effect of post harvest treatments of polyamines on colour of
 230 stored peach fruits. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2013; 8(2):784-787.
- 231 27. Wrolstad RE, Durst RW, Lee J. Tracking colour and colour changes in anthocyanin products. *Trends Food*
 232 *Sci. Technol*. 2005; 16:423-428.
- 233 28. Yuvraj KM, Ughreja PP, Jambukia TK. Effect of post harvest treatments on ripening
 234 changes and storage life of mango fruits. In: National seminar on food processing India,
 235 G.A.U. Anand. 1999; 125-129.

237 **Table 1. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on TSS (°Brix) during storage at**
 238 **ambient condition**

Treatment	Days of observation				Mean
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	19.21	19.31	19.88	18.61	19.25
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	19.56	19.68	20.16	20.00	19.85
T ₃ -150 ppm	20.02	20.16	20.78	20.99	20.49
T ₄ -200 ppm	19.85	19.98	20.69	20.64	20.29
T ₅ -Control	18.75	18.86	16.11	10.20	15.98
Mean	19.49	19.60	19.52	18.09	19.17
CD(p≤0.05)	T				0.50
	D				0.45
	T*D				0.99
CV (%)					7.35

239
 240 **Table 2. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on Total sugar (%) during storage at**
 241 **ambient condition**

Treatment	Days of observation				Mean
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	11.66	12.92	13.59	17.98	14.03
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	12.75	13.36	14.72	18.06	14.72
T ₃ - SA150 ppm	13.10	14.46	16.01	18.90	15.62
T ₄ - SA 200 ppm	12.86	13.85	15.26	18.10	15.02
T ₅ -Control	10.84	11.91	10.22	9.30	10.57

Mean	12.24	13.30	13.96	16.47	13.99
CD(p≤0.05)	T				0.26
	D				0.24
	T*D				0.53
CV (%)					5.34

242

243 **Table 3. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on Titrable acidity (%) during storage**
 244 **at ambient condition**

Treatment	Days of observation				245
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	Mean
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	0.605	0.533	0.449	0.317	0.476
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	0.580	0.512	0.435	0.310	0.459
T ₃ -150 ppm	0.440	0.428	0.324	0.255	0.362
T ₄ -200 ppm	0.520	0.493	0.356	0.312	0.420
T ₅ -Control	0.760	0.682	0.568	0.569	0.645
Mean	0.581	0.530	0.427	0.352	0.472
CD (p≤0.05)	T				0.011
	D				0.010
	T*D				0.022
CV (%)					6.70

246

247 **Table 4. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on Ascorbic Acid (mg/100 g) during**
 248 **storage at ambient condition**

Treatment	Days of observation				
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	Mean
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	34.86	27.26	22.51	8.44	23.27
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	35.44	28.55	22.91	8.73	23.91
T ₃ -150 ppm	38.21	31.90	24.52	10.10	26.18
T ₄ -200 ppm	36.15	30.16	23.30	8.81	24.61
T ₅ -Control	33.01	26.00	19.00	0.00	19.50
Mean	35.54	28.77	22.45	7.22	23.49
CD (p≤0.05)	T				0.50
	D				0.45
	TxD				1.00
CV (%)					6.07

249

250

251

252

253 **Table 5. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on Anthocyanin of peel (mg/100 g pulp)**
 254 **during storage at ambient condition**

Treatment	Days of observation				Mean
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	19.54	18.74	14.61	6.76	14.91
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	19.96	18.93	14.70	7.66	15.31
T ₃ - SA150 ppm	20.88	19.66	15.11	8.06	15.92
T ₄ - SA 200 ppm	21.54	20.33	16.07	7.75	16.42
T ₅ -Control	18.72	16.58	6.07	1.50	10.72
Mean	20.12	18.85	13.31	6.35	14.66
CD (p≤0.05)	T				0.30
	D				0.26
	TxD				0.59
CV (%)					5.70

255

256

257 **Table 6. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on PLW (%) during storage at ambient**
 258 **condition**

Treatment	Days of observation				Mean
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	-	5.35 (21.41)	10.70 (42.81)	15.52 (62.08)	10.53
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	-	4.99 (19.95)	10.35 (41.40)	14.07 (56.26)	9.80
T ₃ - SA150 ppm	-	4.42 (17.67)	9.80 (39.18)	14.29 (57.16)	9.50
T ₄ - SA 200 ppm	-	4.10 (16.40)	9.64 (38.57)	13.97 (55.86)	9.24
T ₅ -Control	-	7.92 (31.67)	13.85 (55.40)	19.21 (76.84)	13.66
Mean	-	5.36	10.87	15.41	10.54
CD(p≤0.05)	T				0.26
	D				0.24
	TxD				0.53
CV (%)					7.06

259

260

261

262

263

264

265 **Table 7. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on Spoilage (%) during storage at**
 266 **ambient condition**

Treatment	Days of observation				267
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 6	Mean
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	-	-	18.43 (73.72)	29.16 (116.64)	23.80
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	-	-	17.20 (68.81)	24.72 (98.90)	20.96
T ₃ - SA150 ppm	-	-	14.47 (57.90)	17.20 (68.81)	15.84
T ₄ - SA 200 ppm	-	-	15.17 (60.68)	21.76 (87.04)	18.46
T ₅ -Control	-	-	45.72 (182.87)	48.02 (192.07)	46.87
Mean	-	-	22.20	28.17	25.19
CD(p ≤0.05)	T				0.44
	D				0.28
	TxD				0.62
CV(%)					3.49

268

269 **Table 8. Effect of pre harvest spray of salicylic acid on shelf life during storage at ambient**
 270 **condition**

Treatment	Shelf life enhanced	Shelf life extended over control
T ₁ -SA 50 ppm	4	2
T ₂ -SA100 ppm	4	2
T ₃ - SA150 ppm	6	4
T ₄ - SA 200 ppm	4	2
T ₅ -Control	2	-

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

UNDER PEER REVIEW