

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCS_97665 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF NUTRITIONAL AND VITAMIN CONTENT OF SWEET ORANGE, WATERMELON AND PINEAPPLE FRUITS. |
| Type of the Article | Research |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes Yes Yes, there is no need to present the details of all results, it should be in short. A separate heading for fruits required (fruits are mentioned in the reagents) Determination of Vitamin C content required reference of method, the method of proximate analysis and mineral should be mention. There are some corrections, different font, italic and settings etc. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Please check the highlighted areas to be corrected | |
| Optional/General comments | Sections 2.1 and 2.2 should be in paragraphs. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name: | Raj Singh |
| Department, University & Country | Maharishi Markandeshwar, India |