

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_97665
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF NUTRITIONAL AND VITAMIN CONTENT OF SWEET ORANGE, WATERMELON AND PINEAPPLE FRUITS.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because watermelon and pineapple fruits are the major fruits consumed in the authors locality, their nutritive significance being their richness in minerals and vitamins which is essential is the maintenance of human health. So, the aim of the present manuscript was the comparative analysis of the nutritional and vitamin composition of sweet orange, watermelon and pineapple fruits. The experimental result showed that the watermelon, sweet orange and pineapple fruits used in this study are better source for the antioxidant vitamins.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the abstract is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The text is clear and easy to read.</p> <p>6. The list of references is relatively recently.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Attention at the reference list. Is not written uniformly. Furthermore, some names of species are not italic. Please to correct.</p> <p><b>Examples:</b></p> <p>Anyaegbu, C. F; Oledibe, O.J and Amadi, J.E. (2019). Effect of bakers yeast (<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>) in the production of wine using oranges, apples and pineapples. European Journal of Biology. 4(1): 41-55.</p> <p>Musdja, M.Y, Mahendra, F, Musir. A. (2017). Anti-hyperglycemia effect and glucose tolerance of guajava (<i>Psidium guajava L</i>) leaf ethanol extract in diabetic rats. Journal of Earth and environmental science. 101:1-4</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Dumitru Gabriela
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania