

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_97763
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF HEAVY METALS IN EDIBLE PALM OIL ADULTRATED WITH PLANT DYE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.Yes.In this manuscript, an attempt to detect the presence of heavy metals in adulterated oil has been tried which is essential for the society. 2.yes. The title is relevant only. 3. Yes. 4.yes 5. Yes 6. References are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are few grammatical errors and mistakes in punctuations which must be avoided before publication. In the abstract the symbol for nickel and cobalt were represented as N and C respectively. It may be typographical error. It should be Ni and Co respectively.	
Optional/General comments	Alignment in the article must be checked. The study may be extended for the analysis of originally adulterated oil available in the market which may be useful for the consumers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Santhi
Department, University & Country	Seethalakshmi Ramaswami College, India