

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_97763
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF HEAVY METALS IN EDIBLE PALM OIL ADULTRATED WITH PLANT DYE
Type of the Article	Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The manuscript is important for scientific community.</li> <li>- The title of article could be replaced by "DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES IN EDIBLE PALM OIL ADULTRATED WITH PLANT DYE".</li> <li>- The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</li> <li>- The subsections and structure of the manuscript need to be emended</li> </ul> <p>Introduction- The objective of this study is ambiguous. Make it clear what you show in this article MATERIALS AND METHODS need to be emended. In RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, you should discuss deeply and the results should be presented in the article in one way (Table or Figure).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The references are sufficient and recent</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript is not well written and needs English improvement	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azad Behnam Sabow
Department, University & Country	Salahaddin University Erbil, Iraq