

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_96190
Title of the Manuscript:	"Comparison of Platelet rich plasma mesotherapy versus Vitamin C hyaluronic acid mesotherapy for facial skin rejuvenation"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b> 2. <b>Yes</b> 3. <b>Abstract: please re-write. See other articles on how to write the abstract. The abstract should not contain references or citations.</b> 4. <b>No</b> 5. <b>Need to revise.</b> 6. <b>References: Please check with the journal.</b></p> <p><b>Overall comments for this paper</b></p> <p>1) <b>Introduction:</b> The author already stated this study's aim at the end of the introduction. But again, there are aims and objectives of the study after the introduction part. Please state the general aim is enough for the manuscript. 2) Please read another article on how to write or the structure of the manuscript. 3) <b>Methodology:</b> Lots of spelling errors. Please follow professional writing way. 4) Author keeps on mentioning the words "this study will be" in the manuscript. Is this study done or this is a proposal? 5) <b>Results:</b> Please summarized them. The way written is not clear. 6) <b>Discussion:</b> should be based on results and previous studies. 7) <b>Conclusion:</b> when the conclusion is there, no need summary. 8) <b>References:</b> Please check with the journal for referencing style.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	A lot of grammatical errors in the manuscript.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thandar Soe Sumaiyah Jamaludin
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia