

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_96190
Title of the Manuscript:	"Comparison of Platelet rich plasma mesotherapy versus Vitamin C hyaluronic acid mesotherapy for facial skin rejuvenation"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>Yes, the manuscript might be important for scientific community afthe the revision made. The structure of manuscript is not suitbale for original article (too long, too many graph, various font size)</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>No, The abstract only a copy paste form body text. The authors needs to re-write and since it's an original article, methods section in the abstract should be stated clearly.</p> <p>No, the subsection and structure are too many and needs to re-organized</p> <p>Yes, there is no miss-information delivered in this manuscript but the way authors write this manuscript is hard to understand</p> <p>Yes, please remove and substitute references older than 5 years</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Minor revision are needed, but overall the quality is good and could be understood.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flora Ramona Sigit Prakoeswa
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Indonesia