

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_97437
Title of the Manuscript:	The Soil Diagnostic Method to Formulate Fertilizer Requirements for Coffee Trees in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript is practical based the results and overall importance scientifically will help the new researcher.</li> <li>The title is unique hence suitable.</li> <li>Abstract in the manuscript written well-structured and comprehensive.</li> <li>The structure should be more focused hence minimum revision is needed.</li> <li>The manuscript is correct but needs some revision.</li> <li>References are well addressed and some other references citation should be included ore recent.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is suitable for the researchers.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The location map should be included in the manuscript.</li> <li>The flow diagram of the study should be constructed.</li> <li>The graphical representation of the results should be developed. i.e., column or area wise figures should be added.</li> <li>Manuscript should be checked in Grammarly software for errors corrections.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shoukat Ali Shah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wuhan University, China</b>