

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_91790</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment on Free Basic Compulsory Education on Schooling and Reduction of Child Labor in Tanzania</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract section should clearly state the contribution of this research and what research gap it addresses</p> <p>The introduction needs to be developed further to clearly establish the justification/importance of the new initiative and articulate the research problem and objective; these need to be specifically linked to the associated issues and problems.</p> <p>Coverage of other relevant work is partly provided, however there is a lack of comment and critical review of this work - it is just a 'matter-of-fact' listing of relevant literature. Including a wider critical overview of the most recent literature would significantly contribute to the quality of the manuscript and would strengthen its academic perspective and scientific foundation.</p> <p>Conclusion section should be expanded to highlight the unique contributions of the manuscript and limitations of the research</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript needs further documentation on both, theoretical and literature review levels, in order to enhance its contribution to the scientific area.</p> <p>Our suggestion is that the manuscript needs extended restructuring in terms of more convincing arguments and documentation of the originality and the value of this particular research,</p> <p>The quality of writing should be improved by improving the grammar.</p>	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Arita Marini</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia</b>