

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_97830
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the innovation path of computer science in business administration in the era of big data
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajssse.com/index.php/SAJSSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Based on the review of the manuscript, Yes the manuscript important to scientific research. The title of the manuscript should be adjusted to something like 'Analysis of innovation in path of computer science based on business administration in the era of big data' The abstract should contain introduction, methodology used, findings and limitation or further study. Yes, but finding path is missing should be included. Yes, it can be consider as a scientifically correct as path of computer sciences programming language that attributed the connection between the computer science and administration. Yes, the reference are up to date. But the manuscript need more citation and references, meanwhile the citation the manuscript need to be check and correct the spacing between the end of the sentences and the citation. Research question and aim should be stated on the manuscript, as to help the reader in understanding the research better.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should be re-review and all the necessary correction should be made including the spacing and citation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim Suleiman Yahaya
Department, University & Country	Federal University Dutse, Nigeria