

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98107
Title of the Manuscript:	Assimilation of Macronutrients by Maize as Influenced Humic Substance Enriched with Micronutrients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Possible. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Possible. 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, but as a comment, the MS can be improved. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. No, the MS can be improved as comment. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	In introduction: 1. Several sentences were unable to locate citation. Please include. In methods: 2. Insert the full names of RDE and FYM, as well as table elements that differ between HS and Enriched HS, such as table1. In results: 3. Rearranging the result table will make it easier to compare, for example, comparing the percent element content of grain and stover in the same table. Even so, the percentage element uptake of grain and stover should be combined. 4. The number of replicates in each treatment, as well as the SE or SD, should be included in the data for each treatment. 5. Insert the post hoc analysis, indicating significant data for improving the data information. In discussion: 6. Please explain why the Enriched HS has an opportunity, as stated in comment (2) above.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suravoot Yooyongwech
Department, University & Country	Mahidol University, Thailand