

Effect of soil properties as influenced by the application of enriched FYM with zinc solubilizers and zinc sulphate in maize (*Zea mays* L.)

ABSTRACT

Background: Suboptimal dietary zinc intake is widespread in India due to low levels of plant-available zinc in most soils and limited food choices. The aim of this study was to enhance the zinc concentration in soil and maize by using enriched farmyard manure (FYM) with zinc fertilizers. Additionally, the response of maize to three forms of zinc fertilizer was determined. Under zinc-deficient soils, maize has a low zinc concentration, which can cause human illness and have a drastic effect on yield potential. Therefore, enhancing zinc content in plants by using FYM enriched with zinc solubilizers and inorganic zinc fertilizers is the best approach to increase zinc content in soil and plants.

Methods: A field experiment was conducted to study the soil physico chemical properties of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under application of enriched FYM with ZnSB and ZnSO₄ on sandy loam soils at College Farm, Agricultural College, Mahanandi campus of Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University during *rabi*, 2021-2022. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eleven treatments and replicated thrice.

Result: The results revealed that significantly higher and comparable values of available nitrogen (302, 299 and 281 kg ha⁻¹ respectively), phosphorous (73.40, 66.53 and 58.40 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) and zinc (1.76, 1.54 and 1.15 mg kg⁻¹ respectively) at different growth stages of maize were registered with application of FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹. Whereas available potassium, Iron, manganese, copper, soil pH, EC (dS m⁻¹) and OC (%) were not significantly affected by the application of FYM enriched with ZnSB and ZnSO₄.

Key words: Maize, Enriched, FYM, ZnSB and ZnSO₄

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a cereal grain that was first grown in Central America and belongs to the family Poaceae. It is also known as the "queen of cereals" due to its highest genetic yield potential and wide adaptability under various agro-ecological conditions. Corn is one of the most important cereal crops worldwide and is cultivated globally. It was estimated that worldwide maize production would be around 1,210.45 million metric tonnes for the year 2021-2022 (World Agricultural Production.com). Among the countries, the United States of America ranks first in maize production with 383.94 million metric tonnes, followed by China (272.55 MMT), Brazil (116 MMT), the European Union (70.49 MMT), Argentina (53 MMT), Ukraine (41.90 MMT), and India (32.5 MMT).

In India, maize is sown in an area of 6.17 lakh hectares during *rabi*. In Andhra Pradesh, maize is grown in 10.10 per cent of total cropped area during both *kharif* and *rabi* seasons (*Maize outlook, May 2022, PJTSAU*). In Kurnool district of Andhra Pradesh, maize is the predominant crop cultivated under irrigated conditions due to its highest market demand for alcohol production and for poultry feeding.

In plant nutrition generally more emphasis is towards primary nutrients than the secondary and micronutrients. But these nutrients are equally important for attaining maximum yield and better quality. Zinc plays a significant role in plant metabolism like photosynthesis, protein synthesis, pollen formation and disease resistance and yielding potential (Sbartai *et al.*, 2011). There are several studies conducted globally to enhance zinc content in plant by using FYM enriched with Zn solubilizers and inorganic zinc fertilizers. It was proved to be a good alternative than alone application of zinc fertilizers for improving the plant zinc content.

Bacillus is one of the most studied Zinc solubilizing genera. It possesses strong growth promoting activities like colonizing the rhizosphere. When *Bacillus* strains are added to FYM for enrichment, they solubilize unavailable zinc through production of chelating ligands, secretion of organic acids, amino acids and vitamins through oxido-reductive and proton extrusion mechanisms. In another way FYM enriched with inorganic fertilizers will reduce the leaching losses and fixation by forming organic chelates. It has become an efficient way to increase yield and quality of crops and gives quick compensation of nutrient deficiency. Enriching FYM with zinc fertilizers, zinc solubilizing strains and applying to the soil may not only help to increase zinc concentration in grains but also to deal with the problem of malnutrition. Therefore,

keeping all these facts in view, the present investigation entitled “Performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by the application of fortified Zinc” was proposed to study soil physical chemical properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was carried out at the Agricultural College Farm, Mahanandi campus of Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University during *rabi*, 2021-2022. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eleven treatments and replicated thrice that consists of (T₁) Control (100 % RDF), (T₂) RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹, (T₃) RDF + ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹, (T₄) RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹, (T₅) RDF + Foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄ at knee high stage, (T₆) RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB, (T₇) RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄ at knee high stage, (T₈) RDF + Soil application of ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹, (T₉) RDF + Seed treatment with ZnSB @ 10 g kg⁻¹ of seed, (T₁₀) RDF + Soil application of ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄ at knee high stage, (T₁₁) RDF + Seed treatment with ZnSB @ 10 g kg⁻¹ of seed + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄ at knee high stage.

The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, with neutral in reaction (pH 7.33), (EC 0.24 ds m⁻¹) low in organic carbon (0.48 %) and available nitrogen (248 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (49 kg ha⁻¹), high in available potassium (586 kg ha⁻¹), low in available zinc and manganese, medium in Iron and high in available copper. The maize hybrid Advanta – PAC - 751 having duration of 100-120 days was sown with a spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm.

Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and zinc were supplied through Urea, Single Super Phosphate (SSP), Muriate of Potash (MOP) and zinc sulphate. The recommended dose of fertilizer *i.e.*, 240 kg N, 80 kg P₂O₅, 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 50 kg ZnSO₄ kg ha⁻¹ was applied uniformly to all the plots. Entire quantity of phosphorus was applied as basal dose, whereas nitrogen was applied in three equal splits (1/3 each at the time of sowing, knee high and tasseling stages). Zinc sulphate @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ was applied to the soil after two days of application of phosphorus. Foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄ was given at knee high stage as per the treatments. Zinc solubilizer– *Bacillus coagulans* @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ was applied 3 days before the application of fertilizers.

The observations were recorded on soil parameters like physical-chemical like, pH, EC (%) and OC (%) and chemical properties like, available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, zinc, Iron, manganese, and copper at different growth stages of maize crop.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil Under Maize

Soil pH

The data on pH of soil was presented in Table 1. It indicated that non-significant differences were observed between the treatments from knee high to harvest stages of maize crop.

At knee high, tasseling and harvest stages, pH values were ranged from 7.52 to 7.80, 7.40 to 7.66 and 7.26 to 7.53 respectively. Numerically maximum pH values (7.80, 7.66, 7.53) were recorded in the treatment T₁ (Recommended dose of fertilizers) and minimum pH values (7.52, 7.40, 7.26) were recorded in the treatment T₄ (RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) at knee high, tasseling and harvest stages, respectively.

The decrease in pH in plots treated with FYM enriched with zinc sulphate and FYM enriched with zinc solubilizers might be due to the production of the organic acids by zinc solubilizers and FYM. Similar results were earlier observed by Masih *et al.* (2018).

Electrical conductivity

The data presented in the Table 1, revealed that the electrical conductivity of the soil at different growth stages of maize was not significantly influenced by the application of different treatments. Maximum EC values (0.52, 0.45 and 0.32 dSm⁻¹) were observed in T₃ (RDF + soil application of zinc sulphate @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) receiving soil application of zinc sulphate while minimum (0.46, 0.36 and 0.24 dS m⁻¹) was recorded with T₁ (Recommended dose of fertilizers) at knee high, tasseling and harvest stages, respectively.

EC values at harvest stage recorded the lowest values than knee high and tasseling stage. This might be due to irrigation of the field during crop growth which

causes movement of salts into deeper layers. These results were corroborated with the findings

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1. Soil pH, electrical conductivity ((dS m⁻¹) and organic carbon (%) as influenced by application of various treatments at different growth stages of maize crop

Treatments	pH			EC (dS m ⁻¹)			OC (%)		
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest
T ₁ : Control (RDF alone)	7.80	7.66	7.53	0.46	0.36	0.24	0.55	0.51	0.45
T ₂ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹	7.70	7.50	7.30	0.47	0.37	0.25	0.59	0.54	0.49
T ₃ : ZnSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹	7.70	7.52	7.34	0.52	0.45	0.32	0.57	0.52	0.47
T ₄ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹	7.52	7.40	7.26	0.49	0.38	0.27	0.59	0.54	0.49
T ₅ : Foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	7.66	7.48	7.36	0.47	0.39	0.27	0.57	0.52	0.46
T ₆ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha ⁻¹	7.57	7.41	7.28	0.47	0.40	0.29	0.61	0.56	0.51
T ₇ : T ₆ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	7.56	7.44	7.27	0.49	0.39	0.28	0.60	0.55	0.50
T ₈ : Soil application of ZnSB @ 5 kg ha ⁻¹	7.65	7.49	7.35	0.48	0.41	0.30	0.56	0.52	0.46
T ₉ : Seed treatment with ZnSB @ 10 g kg ⁻¹ of seed	7.62	7.57	7.32	0.51	0.43	0.31	0.58	0.53	0.47
T ₁₀ : T ₈ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	7.67	7.62	7.37	0.49	0.40	0.29	0.57	0.53	0.48
T ₁₁ : T ₉ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	7.65	7.60	7.35	0.48	0.39	0.30	0.58	0.54	0.48
SEm±	0.40	0.35	0.35	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)	9.07	8.02	8.22	9.96	9.87	10.63	10.41	8.49	8.51

of Keram *et al.* (2012) and Ehsanullah *et al.* (2015). The slight increase of soil EC in zinc sulphate application alone (T₃) might be due to addition of salts and solubilization of native minerals due to slight acidity of soils.

Organic carbon

The data presented in the Table 1, revealed that non-significant differences were observed in organic carbon content of soil between the treatments at all the stages of crop growth. However, the application of FYM enriched with zinc solubilizers slightly increased the organic carbon content in the soil. The organic carbon content at knee high, tasseling and harvest stages of maize crop were ranged from 0.55 to 0.61, 0.51 to 0.56 and 0.45 to 0.51 per cent respectively. The maximum organic carbon content (0.61, 0.56 and 0.51 %) was observed in the treatment T₆ (RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB) at all the stages of crop growth. The minimum organic carbon content (0.55, 0.51 and 0.45 % respectively) was observed in the treatment T₁ (Recommended dose of fertilizers) at all the stages of crop growth.

This might be due to the combined application of organic, biofertilizers and inorganic sources of nutrients in T₆ which led to increased humus content in the soil while T₁ doesn't contain any organic and biofertilizers sources of nutrients. The above results were earlier observed by Masih *et al.* (2018).

Chemical Properties of Soil Under Maize

Macronutrients

Available Nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹)

The results showed a significant increase in the available nitrogen with the application of FYM enriched with ZnSB and ZnSO₄ (Table 2). A declining trend in the available nitrogen was noticed from knee high to harvest stage of maize crop. The lowest available nitrogen was recorded in control T₁ (RDF alone) at all the stages of crop growth. At knee high stage, higher available nitrogen (302.00 kg ha⁻¹) was registered in the treatment T₄ (RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with the treatments T₂, T₆ and T₇. At tasseling and harvest stages the treatments T₄, T₆ and T₇ significantly increased the available nitrogen content in soil when compared to other treatments.

Application of FYM enriched with ZnSB and ZnSO₄ showed more available nitrogen status at harvest stage when compared with initial soil. This might be due to

combined application of both organic and inorganic nutrient sources. The above results are in conformity with Wailare and Kesarwani, (2017) who reported that application of enriched FYM along with RDF increases overall land productivity than alone application of inorganic fertilizer and concluded that integration of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient improved total soil fertility status.

Available Phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹)

Data pertaining to the available phosphorus content at knee high, tasseling and harvest stages presented in Table 2. A declining trend in the available phosphorous was observed from knee high to harvest stage. The lowest available phosphorus was recorded in T₃ when compared to all other treatments. The highest available phosphorus (73.40 kg ha⁻¹ at knee high, 66.53 kg ha⁻¹ at tasseling and 58.40 kg ha⁻¹ at harvest) was registered in the treatment T₄ (RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with the treatments T₇ (RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄) (68.20 kg ha⁻¹ at knee high, 63.20 kg ha⁻¹ at tasseling and 57.30 kg ha⁻¹ at harvest), T₆ (RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹) (67.50 kg ha⁻¹ at knee high, 62.00 kg ha⁻¹ at tasseling and 57.10 kg ha⁻¹ at harvest) and T₂ (RDF + FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (66.80 kg ha⁻¹ at knee high, 62.10 kg ha⁻¹ at tasseling and 55.40 kg ha⁻¹ at harvest).

Application of FYM enriched with ZnSB and ZnSO₄ showed more available phosphorous status at harvest stage when compared with initial soil. It might be due to organic acids which were released during microbial decomposition of organic matter which helped in the solubility of native phosphates, thus increasing the available phosphorus in soil. Besides these appreciable quantities of carbon dioxide released during the decomposition of organic matter might have formed carbonic acid, which enhance the solubility of phosphates resulting in higher availability of phosphate in plots treated with organic matter. Similar results were earlier reported by Satish *et al.* (2011).

Available potassium (kg ha⁻¹)

Data pertaining to the available potassium content of the soil presented in Table 2. Non - significant differences were observed between the treatments from knee high to harvest stage of maize crop.

The maximum (610, 598 and 593 kg ha⁻¹) available potassium content at knee high, tasseling and harvest stages, respectively, was registered in the treatment T₄ (RDF

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2. Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (kg ha⁻¹) as influenced by application of various treatments at different growth stages of maize crop

Treatments	Available Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)			Available Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)			Available Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest
T ₁ : Control (RDF alone)	245.00	230.00	218.00	57.60	52.20	46.30	580.00	576.00	565.00
T ₂ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹	276.00	262.00	250.00	66.80	62.10	55.40	600.00	593.00	586.00
T ₃ : ZnSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹	262.00	249.00	236.00	55.90	51.00	44.10	593.00	590.00	584.00
T ₄ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹	302.00	299.00	281.00	73.40	66.53	58.40	610.00	598.00	593.00
T ₅ : Foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	254.00	242.00	229.00	58.30	52.20	48.30	582.00	579.00	572.00
T ₆ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha ⁻¹	285.00	271.00	256.00	67.50	62.00	57.10	604.00	591.00	588.00
T ₇ : T ₆ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	290.00	278.00	264.00	68.20	63.20	57.30	607.00	596.00	592.00
T ₈ : Soil application of ZnSB @ 5 kg ha ⁻¹	258.00	245.00	232.00	59.40	55.20	46.80	585.00	581.00	573.00
T ₉ : Seed treatment with ZnSB @ 10 g kg ⁻¹ of seed	260.00	248.00	235.00	62.10	56.30	48.50	591.00	587.00	580.00
T ₁₀ : T ₈ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	268.00	256.00	240.00	60.30	56.10	48.10	593.00	590.00	580.00
T ₁₁ : T ₉ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	270.00	258.00	243.00	64.10	58.50	50.90	588.00	586.00	580.00
SEm±	10.79	10.45	10.44	2.96	2.64	2.44	27.85	30.29	26.11
CD (p=0.05)	31.83	30.81	30.81	8.72	7.78	7.19	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)	7.01	7.04	7.41	8.12	7.91	8.27	8.12	8.92	7.78

+ FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) and the increase was 5.17, 3.81 and 4.95 % over T₁ (Recommended dose of fertilizers). The minimum (580.00, 576.00 and 565.00 kg ha⁻¹) available potassium content at knee high, tasseling and harvest stages, respectively was registered in the treatment T₁ (RDF alone). Initial soil status indicates that soil is high in available potassium and upon application of organic and inorganic sources during crop growth period made potassium readily available to the plants. The declining trend of available potassium among all the treatments from knee high to harvest stage of the crop might be due to utilization by plants. Similar results were earlier observed by Goutami *et al.* (2015).

Micronutrients

DTPA Extractable Zn (mg kg⁻¹)

The data on available zinc is presented in the Table 3, indicated that significant differences were observed between the treatments regard to available zinc content of soil from knee - high to harvest stage of maize crop. A declining trend in the available zinc was observed from knee - high to harvest stage. The lowest available zinc was recorded in T₁ when compared to all other treatments.

At knee - high stage, higher available zinc content (1.76 mg kg⁻¹) was registered in the treatment T₄ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with the treatments T₇ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄) (1.69 mg kg⁻¹), T₆ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹) (1.65 mg kg⁻¹) and T₃ (ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) (1.62 mg kg⁻¹).

At tasseling stage, maximum available zinc content (1.54 mg kg⁻¹) was registered in the treatment T₄ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with the treatments T₇ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄) (1.50 mg kg⁻¹), T₃ (ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) (1.49 mg kg⁻¹) and T₆ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹) (1.48 mg kg⁻¹).

At harvest stage, higher available zinc content (1.15 mg kg⁻¹) was registered in the treatments T₄ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with the treatments T₇ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO₄) (1.10 mg kg⁻¹), T₆ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha⁻¹) (1.07 mg kg⁻¹) and T₃ (ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) (0.98 mg kg⁻¹).

Table 3. DTPA extractable zinc, Iron, manganese and copper (mg kg⁻¹) as influenced by application of various treatments in maize crop

TREATMENTS	DTPA extractable Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)			DTPA extractable Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA extractable Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	DTPA extractable Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)
	Knee high	Tasseling	Harvest	Harvest		
T ₁ : Control (RDF alone)	0.92	0.77	0.55	7.10	3.50	1.02
T ₂ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹	1.55	1.30	0.87	7.88	3.80	1.22
T ₃ : ZnSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹	1.62	1.49	0.98	7.33	3.70	1.14
T ₄ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO ₄ @ 50 kg ha ⁻¹	1.76	1.54	1.15	8.12	4.01	1.28
T ₅ : Foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	1.23	0.89	0.59	7.24	3.62	1.09
T ₆ : FYM @ 10 t ha ⁻¹ enriched with ZnSB @ 5 kg ha ⁻¹	1.65	1.48	1.07	7.59	3.90	1.24
T ₇ : T ₆ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	1.69	1.50	1.10	7.86	3.98	1.26
T ₈ : Soil application of ZnSB @ 5 kg ha ⁻¹	1.41	1.04	1.02	7.39	3.71	1.16
T ₉ : Seed treatment with ZnSB @ 10 g kg ⁻¹ of seed	1.47	1.16	0.69	7.52	3.79	1.12
T ₁₀ : T ₈ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	1.53	1.20	0.72	7.68	3.84	1.17
T ₁₁ : T ₉ + foliar application of 0.2 % ZnSO ₄	1.55	1.23	0.78	7.72	3.87	1.21
SEm±	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.44	0.23	0.05
CD (p=0.05)	0.20	0.19	0.18	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)	8.08	8.72	8.52	9.94	10.34	8.02

The results of the experiment revealed that maximum zinc availability was observed in the treatments T₃, T₄, T₆ and T₇ at different stages of maize. This might be due to application of FYM enriched with ZnSO₄ and ZnSB, foliar spray with 0.2 % ZnSO₄ and alone application of ZnSO₄. The increase in soil available micronutrient status in plots treated with enriched FYM might be due to the decomposition of FYM leading to the release of micronutrients and formation of chelating agents. This might have prevented micronutrients from precipitation and oxidation there by no reduction was observed in micronutrient content. Treatments which did not receive zinc as soil application recorded lower micronutrient content because there was no replenishment of micronutrients in those treatments. Similar results were earlier observed by Masih *et al.* (2018), Patra *et al.* (2022) and Prusty *et al.* (2022).

4.7.5 DTPA Extractable Micronutrients (mg kg⁻¹) (Fe, Mn and Cu)

DTPA extractable micronutrients (Fe, Mn and Cu) after harvest of the crop were not significantly influenced by application of different treatments (Table 3). The highest content of DTPA extractable Fe, Mn and Cu (8.12, 4.01 and 1.28 mg kg⁻¹ respectively) were obtained in the treatment T₄ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹) while the lowest DTPA extractable Fe, Mn and Cu (7.10, 3.50 and 1.02 mg kg⁻¹ respectively) was registered in T₁ (RDF alone). Similar results were observed by Goutami *et al.* (2015).

CONCLUSION

Based on the above investigation, it was concluded that application of FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ enriched with ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ (T₄) was the best approach to increase organic carbon content available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and DTPA extractable micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu) in Scarce Rainfall Zone of Andhra Pradesh.

REFERENCES

- Ehsanullah AT, Mahmaood A, Randhawa T, Anjum SA, Nadeem M, Naeem M. 2015. Exploring the role of zinc in maize (*Zea mays* L.) through soil and foliar application. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research*. 3 (3): 69-75.
- Goutami N, Rani PP, Pathy RL, Babu PR. 2015. Soil properties and biological activity as influenced by nutrient management in rice-fallow sorghum. *International Journal of Agricultural Research Innovation and Technology*. 5(1): 10-14.

<http://pjtsau.edu.in> Agricultural Market Intelligence Center, PJTSAU-2021-2022.

<http://www.worldagriculturalproduction.com> United states Department of Agriculture, 2021-2022.

Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sawarkar S D. 2012. Impact of Zn application on yield, quality, nutrient uptake, and soil fertility in a medium black soil (Vertisol). *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*. 1 (5): 563-571.

Masih A, Swaroop N, Sinha P, Prajapati V, Upadhyay Y. 2018. Effects of integrated nutrient management on chemical properties of soil in maize (*Zea mays* L.) var. KirtimanSaurabh. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 7(1): 1097-1099.

Patra A, Sharma VK, Nath DJ, Dutta A, Purakayastha TJ, Kumar S, Barman M, Chobhe KA, Nath CP Kumawat C. 2022. Long-term impact of integrated nutrient management on sustainable yield index of rice and soil quality under acidic inceptisol. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 1-18.

Prusty M, Swain D, Alim MA, Ray M. 2022. Integrated nutrient management in sweet corn and its residual effect on green gram in mid-central table land zone of Odisha. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 11(3): 102-105.

Satish A, Hugar AY, Kusagur N, Chandrappa H. 2011. Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil fertility status and productivity of rice-maize sequence under permanent plot experiment. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 45 (4): 320 – 325.

Sbartai H, Djebar R, Rouabhi I, Sbartai H, Berrebbah, K. 2011. Antioxidative response in tomato plants *Lycopersicon esculentum* L., roots, and leaves to Zinc. *American –Eurasian Journal of Toxicology Science*. 3:41-46.

Wailare AT, Kesarwani A. 2017. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield parameters of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as well as soil physicochemical properties. *Biomedical Journal of Scientific and Technical Research*. 1(2): 1-6.

UNDER PEER REVIEW