

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98174
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of soil properties as influenced by the application of enriched FYM with zinc solubilizers and zinc sulphate in maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Absolutely. I understand that all experimental information can be useful for future scientific and industrial work. In addition, producers can find here important information to schedule the next harvest.</b>  <b>Yes.</b>  <b>Yes, it is very clear.</b>  <b>Yes, the manuscript is correctly structured.</b>  <b>Yes, I do. However, the Author did not inform which statistical tools were used to support his conclusions.</b>  <b>Yes, I consider the references to be current and sufficient.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes, but I suggest a review to ensure complete absence of any errors.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>No comments.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandre Ricardo Pereira Schuler
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brasil