

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_98083
Title of the Manuscript:	How is Bangladesh growing more susceptible to infectious disease epidemics as a result of climate change? A review of the knowledge gap and suggested future steps
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The manuscript has scientific merit for it unravels the pattern of emerging infectious diseases associated with climate change.</p> <p>The title is quite long but still acceptable. It also reflects the intentions of the researcher.</p> <p>Yes. It has 245 words which is acceptable.</p> <p>Acceptable. In the methodology, it is recommended to identify the technique/process in selecting the articles included in the review. Example, quantitative meta-analysis, documentary analysis, etc.</p> <p>The manuscript detailed the outcome of the previous studies cited and the trends were identified.</p> <p>Beef up the literature. Include only references over the last 5 years.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it is highly recommended to have it subjected for further proofreading, stylistics and editing.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines