

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_98011
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the water quality of the Nalerigu dam in the East Mamprusi Municipality of the North East Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	Scientific Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Waste treatment methods, whether liquid or solid, are very important for the scientific community because nowadays there is a diversification of research for the depollution of nature all over the world. -The title of the article is suitable - "Other physicochemical parameters monitored, including total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, total hardness and total alkalinity values, were within WHO recommended levels for surface water quality". "The results of the bacteriological analysis including faecal coliforms and total coliforms were high". Some numbers should be specified for the accuracy of the abstract for these two sentences. -After the last sentence of the introduction, you must give some quantified results of the study to complete this part. - "II. Methodology" to be replaced by "II. Material and methods ". This part includes many sub-chapters. It would therefore be good to number them by II.1, II.2 etc. - "III. Discussion" to be replaced by "III. Results and discussion ". The previous remark is also valid for this chapter: it is necessary to number inside the part. - "Conclusion and recommendation" to be replaced by "IV. Conclusion ". You should not put an Appendix in the document, it must be fully integrated into the processing: either in "II. Materials and Methods" or in "III. Results and discussion ". The word "Appendix" should be removed from the conclusion. -The manuscript is scientifically correct. -The bibliographical references are sufficient and recent. Replace "References" by "Bibliographical references".	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalifa Palm
Department, University & Country	Institut de Recherche en Sciences Appliquées et Technologies, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso