

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_98177
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF BIOCOMPOSITE EDIBLE FILM BASED ON CANNA STARCH AND NANOCHITOSAN WITH THE ADDITION OF FISH OIL: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The article is a review about physical characteristics of bio-composite edible film based on canna starch and nano-chitosan with the addition of fish oil, but table 2: Research on edible biocomposite film does not include a single paper of edible film made up of canna starch and nanochitosan with fish oil. 2. No. Suggested title would be "Physical characteristics of biocomposite edible film based on starch and nanochitosan with the addition of oil and acids: A review" 3. Yes 4. The subsection includes only description of canna starch and fish oil but table 2 includes articles on edible biocomposites having other starch component in combination with oils and acids, description of which is missing in the article. 5. No, changes are required as the research considered in table 2 is not correlated with the title of the article. 6. Yes. But reference 16 comes before 15 in text. Hassan et al.[16] , Sartika[21] Year of publication is not mentioned. [9] explained in introduction section does not include proper citation. All these are highlighted in pink colour.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Grammatical errors are highlighted in yellow colour. 2. Repetition of same sentences are highlighted in green colour which should be rectified.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meena Chakraborty
Department, University & Country	Govt. Naveen College, India