

CHARACTERIZATION AND EVALUATION OF THE SUNFLOWER GERMPALSM

Abstract

Thirty-three sunflower genotypes including checks were morphologically characterized using Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Authority (PPV& FRA) and other descriptors during *rabi*2021-22 at the department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, ITM University, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India. The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the sunflower genotypes, suggesting genotypes had enough variability. Various morphological characters were studied which showed good extent of variation among genotypes. Large variation was recorded for strip colour and for ray floret colour differentiation which can utilize to identify the genotype at seed stage and at flowering stage and may serve as morphological markers to identify the accessions. Genotypes with black colored seed viz., GMU-837, GMU-934, GMU-78, and GMU-55 can be utilized for the development of breeding material and populations. All of the characteristics under study recorded larger phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), which implies that environmental influence was more in their expression. High heritability along with genetic advance was observed for plant height and days to maturity, which indicates selection of these traits at early generation would be effective.

Key words: DUS descriptors, variability, evaluation, genetic advance, sunflower

Introduction

Among various edible oilseeds crop sunflower is an important crop and its oil is consumed by large population in India. In 2017–18, a production level of 0.241 million tons at a productivity of 699 kg/ha was reported (Choudhari et al. 2022; Dudhe and Sujatha 2022). Characterization and evaluation of the working germplasm is a regular activity carried out by germplasm curator to unravel the variability present among the germplasm and to identify the important trait-specific accessions for breeding programme (Dudhe et al 2019a). Once the trait specific working germplasm is identified which could be a donor source in the future breeding (Ramesh et al 2013). The DUS descriptors suggested by the Protection of Plant Varieties & Farmers Rights Authority (PPV&FRA) were useful in order to discriminate the genotypes morphologically and to group the accessions as per the trait description. The initial variation present in the working germplasm determines the success of breeding programme. Phenotypic selection based on performance may not always be sufficient. The reason is that genotypes may perform poorly in subsequent segregating generations, it is necessary to choose genotypes based on their genetic merit, i.e. based on their genetic value including heritability (Hamouda et al., 2016). The amount of genetic gain expected from selection would be calculated using genetic variability and heritability calculations (Burton, 1952). Variability and heritability are the parameters which can be used for selection to improve seed yield and its component attributes. Heritability estimates combined with genetic progress are usually more useful in projecting selection gain than heritability estimates alone (Paul et al., 2006).

Comment [C1]: It's weird to start the sentence with a number. Rewrite the sentence putting the 33 after the beginning.

Comment [C2]: Do not repeat title words in keywords.

This increases the chance of the article being found in a search.

Comment [C3]: Very old quote. Swap for a more recent quote, preferably from 2018.

In 1952 the structure of DNA had not even been discovered.

Considering all of these aspects, the current investigation was planned to characterize the sunflower accessions based on DUS descriptors and to determine the level of genetic variability for sunflower genotypes.

Material and methods

The field experiment was carried out at the crop research centre of department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, ITM University, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India, geographically located at 26.22°N, 78.18°E and at an average elevation of about 197m above the mean sea level. Thirty three germplasm accessions were collected from the ICAR-Indian Institute Oilseeds Research (IIOR) Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India which included 30 sunflower germplasm accessions and three checks (DRSF-113, DRSF-108 (National checks), and Phule Bhaskar (Local check grown in Maharashtra). All the accessions were evaluated during *rabi* seasons of 2021-22 in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications, with spacing of 60 x 30 cm with a plot size of 3m x3m. For each genotype seed was seeded by dribbling and 2 seeds each hill were sown to ensure the germination. The experimental was subjected to standard agronomic practices. Eleven morphological characters were recorded as per DUS descriptors (PPV&FRA (2009). Extra character early vigor was recorded till 20 days after seedling emergence and recorded in three categories as poor, good and very good. For taking observations, a visual assessment was performed, and a group of plants or components was chosen based on the crop's stage and observations were gathered the percentage of aberrant plants or components in the total genotypes should not exceed 5% for recording observations. To record observations, five plants were picked at random from each entry and five plants from the check in each replication to record data on days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, head diameter (cm), seed filling (%), seed yield per plant (g), oil content (%), 100 g seed weight (g), hull content (%). The genotypic coefficient (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were computed using Burton's (1952) formula. As per the Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon (1973), PCV and GCV estimates were classed as low (0-10 percent), moderate (10-20 percent), and high (>20 percent). The broad notion of heritability ($h^2 b$) was calculated using the formula proposed by (Johnson et al., 1955) and (Hanson *et al.*, 1956).

Table 1: List of sunflower genotypes used in the study and their attributes

Sr.No	Accessions number	Remark
1	GMU-78	Early, dwarf
2	GMU-55	High yield
3	GMU-1073	High yield
4	GMU-463	High yield, medium maturity
5	GMU-190	High yield, medium maturity
6	GMU-1020	High yield
7	GMU-934	High yield
8	GMU-1021	High yield
9	GMU-787	High yield
10	GMU-59	Early, medium maturity
11	GMU-1079	High yield
12	GMU-1096	High yield
13	GMU-1026	High yield
14	GMU-356	High yield
15	GMU-177	High yield
16	GMU-468	High yield

Comment [C4]: Usually, only the word table is in bold, the title is not. Check the journal's rules.

17	GMU-231	High yield
18	GMU-127	High yield
19	GMU-1147	High yield, medium to high oil
20	GMU-249	High yield
21	GMU-1041	High yield
22	GMU-486	High yield
23	GMU-837	High Yield
24	GMU-495	Early, medium maturity
25	GMU-383	Early
26	GMU-1031	High Yield
27	GMU-1037	High Yield
28	GMU-687	Medium to high yield
29	GMU-1058	High yield
30	TNAU COSFV5	Released variety
31	PHULE BHASKAR	Local check ©
32	DRSF-108	National check ©
33	DRSF-113	Nationalcheck ©

Comment [C5]: Check the journal's rules for tables.
Table does not have closed sides, frame that is closed. Check and correct.

Result and discussion

Plant breeders are interested to understand genetic variation in germplasm based on quantitative and morphological traits. Evaluation of morphological traits does not require sophisticated techniques and expensive equipment's (Dudhe et al 2019a). Morphological characterization was done using DUS descriptors to establish diagnostic characteristics for each genotype. Based on DUS characters among 33 accessions, a wide range of variation for various traits is indicated. Abundant morphological variation was observed for early plant vigour it was observed that for six sunflower genotypes it was recored as poor while good for 19 genotypes, and very good for 8 genotypes. Under the leaf related characters, there were greater variations for all the characters under study. Leaf margin/serration was fine for ten genotypes, medium serration for fourteen genotypes and coarse serration for nine genotypes. Disc floret color was yellow for twelve genotypes, purple for two genotypes, orange for nineteen genotypes. Good amount of variation was recorded for ray floret coloration with 13 genotypes as yellow, 10 as orange and pale yellow for rest of the genotypes. Usually black color seeds hybrids in sunflower are preferred by farmers in India (Dudhe et al, 2019a). Seed colour was black for eight genotypes, grey for 4 genotypes and brown for five genotypes. Genotypes with black colored seeds viz., GMU-837, GMU-934, GMU-78, GMU-55 can be utilized for the development of breeding material and populations. All the checks (DRSF-108, DRSF-113, PhuleBhaskar) used in the present study also released variety TNAUCOSFV5 recorded black coloured seeds. Genotypes with black with white strips and light strips were for four genotypes each, grey with white strips for seven genotypes. In the present study we have recorded more variation in strips colours among different genotypes. Also, based on the strip colour and for ray floret colour differentiation can utilize to identify the genotype at seed stage and at flowering stage which may serve as morphological markers to identify the accessions. Earlier researchers who characterized sunflower accessions based on morphological traits were Virupakshappa and Sindagi, (1987), Reddy and Reddy (2006), and Dudhe et al, 2019a and 2019b supports to the present findings. Earlier large scale characterizations of 3273 sunflower accessions were characterized based on 33 DUS descriptor (Dudhe et al., 2018) which supports to our findings.

Table:2 Morphological characterization of sunflower genotypes

Sr. No	Morphological Character	Different Attributes	Frequency	Germplasms
1	Early plant vigour	Poor	6	GMU-837,GMU-485,GMU-383,GMU-1031,GMU-1037,GMU-687
		Good	19	GMU-55,GMU-1073GMU-190,GMU-934,GMU-1021,GMU-59,GMU-1079,GMU-1096,GMU-356,GMU-1026,GMU-177GMU-468,GMU-127,GMU-1147,GMU-1041, DRSF-108, DRSF-113 Phule bhaskar,GMU-1058
		Very good	8	GMU-78,GMU-463,GMU-1020,GMU-787,GMU-231,GMU-249,GMU-486,TNAU COSFV-5
2	Leaf shape	Lanceolate	7	GMU-78,GMU-1073,GMU-190,GMU-1020,GMU-934,GMU-249,GMU-1041
		Triangle	13	GMU-463,GMU-383GMU-1021,GMU-787,GMU-59,GMU-1079,GMU-1096,GMU-1026,GMU-356,GMU-177,GMU-468,GMU-231,GMU-1147
		Cordated	5	GMU-486,GMU-1031,GMU-1058,GMU-127, GMU-55
		Rounded	8	TNAUCOSVF5,GMU-687,GMU-1037,GMU-495,bGMU-837,bPHULE BHASKAR,DRSF-108,DRSF-113
		Elongated	8	GMU-55,GMU-1073,GMU-463,GMU-190,GMU-1020,GMU-177GMU-468,GMU-231
3	Ray floret	Ovated	25	GMU-78,GMU-934,GMU-1021,GMU-787,GMU-59,GMU-1096,GMU-1026,GMU-356,GMU-177,GMU-127,GMU-1147,GMU-249,GMU-1041,GMU-486,GMU-837,GMU-495,GMU-383,GMU-1031,GMU-1037,GMU-687,GMU-1058,TNAU COSFV5, PhuleBhaskar, DRSF-113, DRSF-108
		Rounded	0	-Nil-
		Pale yellow	10	GMU-78,GMU-55,GMU-190,GMU-934,GMU-787,GMU-59,GMU-1079,GMU-1026,GMU-177, GMU-1073
		Yellow	13	GMU-463,GMU-1020GMU-1021,GMU-1096,GMU-356,GMU-468,GMU-231GMU-127GMU-1147,GMU-249GMU-1041,DRSF-113,DRSF-108
4	Ray floret colour	Orange	10	GMU-486,GMU-837GMU-495,GMU-383,GMU-1031,GMU-1037,GMU-687,GMU-1058,Phule bhaskar,TNAUCOSFV5
		Purple/Multi colour	0	-Nil-
		Concave	11	GMU-78,GMU-55,GMU-190,GMU-1020,GMU-59,GMU-1079,GMU-1026,GMU-356,GMU-468,GMU-321,GMU-127
5	Head shape	Flat	6	TNAUCOSFV5,GMU-1058, GMU-687,GMU-1031,GMU-495,GMU-1041
		Convex	10	GMU-1073,GMU-934,GMU-787,GMU-1147,GMU-249,GMU-1037,GMU-383,DRSF-108, DRSF-103,Phulebhaskar
		Triangular/Irregular	6	GMU-1096,GMU-177,GMU-1021,GMU-463,GMU-837,GMU-486
		Inclined	9	GMU-1073,GMU-190,GMU-463,GMU-1020,GMU-
6.	Head position	Inclined	9	GMU-1073,GMU-190,GMU-463,GMU-1020,GMU-

Comment [C6]: 22 / 5.000
Resultados de tradução

Center the title.

				934,GMU-1021,GMU-787,GMU-59 GMU-1079
		Vertical	8	GMU-78,GMU-55,GMU-1096,GMU-1026,GMU-177,GMU-468,GMU-356,TNAUCOSFV5
		Half turned down	16	GMU-231,GMU-127,GMU-1147,GMU-249,GMU-1041,GMU-486GMU-837,GMU-495,GMU-383,GMU-1031,GMU-1037,GMU-687,GMU-1058,DRSF-103,DRSF-118, PhuleBhaskar
		Turned Head	0	-Nil-
7.	Leaf colour	Light green	11	TNAUCOSFV5,GMU-1073,GMU-190,GMU-1020,GMU-787,GMU-59,GMU-1079,GMU-1026,GMU-356,GMU-468,GMU-78
		Dark green	8	GMU-1058,GMU-687GMU-1037,GMU-1031,GMU-383,GMU-495,GMU-486,GMU-249
		Green	12	GMU-55,GMU-463,GMU-1096,GMU-177 GMU-231,GMU-127,GMU-1041,GMU-837,DRSF-108,DRSF-113,Phule Bhaskar, GMU-59
8.	Leaf serration	Fine	10	GMU-1026,GMU-356GMU-687,GMU-1058,GMU-127,GMU-1147,GMU-249,GMU-1041,GMU-486,GMU-837
		Medium	14	GMU-78,GMU-55GMU-1073,GMU-463,GMU-190, GMU-1020GMU-934,GMU-1021,GMU-787,GMU-59GMU-1079,GMU-1096,GMU-177,GMU-468
		Coarse	9	GMU-231,GMU-495,GMU-383,GMU-1031,GMU-1037, PhuleBhaskar, TNAUCOSFV5, DRSF-108,DRSF-113
9	Disc floret colour	Yellow	12	GMU-78,GMU-177,GMU-231,GMU-1020,GMU-934,GMU-249,GMU-1041,GMU-59,GMU-1079,GMU-1096,GMU-55,GMU-468
		Purple	2	GMU-463GMU-190
		Orange	19	GMU-1021,GMU-787,GMU-1031GMU-495,GMU-468,GMU-1026,GMU-383,GMU-1037,GMU-687,GMU-1058,GMU-837,GMU-356,GMU-1147,GMU-486,GMU-127,DRSF-113,DRSF-108, TNAUCOSFV5,Phule Bhaskar
10	Seed colour	Black	8	GMU-837,GMU-934,GMU-78,GMU-55, DRSF-108,DRSF-113,TNAUCOSFV5,Phule Bhaskar
		Black with white strips	4	GMU-787,GMU-383,GMU-127,GMU-463
		Black with light strips	4	GMU-1041,GMU-59,GMU-468,GMU-1073
		Brown	5	GMU-249,GMU-177,GMU-1031,GMU-190,GMU-837
		Grey	4	GMU-1037,GMU-1058,GMU-231GMU-1010
		Grey with white strips	7	GMU-1147,GMU-687,GMU-1021,GMU-356,GMU-1020,GMU-1015,GMU-495
11	Seed coated strips	Present	11	GMU-1147,GMU-687,GMU-1021,GMU-356,GMU-1020,GMU-1041,GMU-59,GMU-468,GMU-1015,GMU-1073,GMU-495
		Absent	22	GMU-78,GMU-55,GMU-463,GMU-190,GMU-934, GMU-

				787,GMU-177,GMU-1079,GMU-1096,GMU-1026.,GMU-231,GMU-127,GMU-249,GMU-486,GMU-837,GMU-383,GMU-1037,GMU-1058, TNAUCOSFV5,DRSF-108 DRSF-113, PhuleBhaskar
12	Strips colour	White	1	GMU-59
		Grey	3	GMU-1021,GMU-1041,GMU-468,
		Brown	3	GMU-356,GMU-1020,GMU-687
		Black	3	GMU-1147,GMU-1073,GMU-495
		Absent	23	GMU-78,GMU-55,GMU-463,GMU-190,GMU-934,GMU-787,GMU-1079,GMU-1096,GMU-1026,GMU-177,GMU-231,GMU-127,GMU-249,GMU-486,GMU-837,GMU-383,GMU-1037,GMU-687,GMU-1058,TNAUCOSFV5,PhuleBhaskar, DRSF-108,DRSF-113

Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the characters. A wide range of variability was exhibited by most of the traits under study (Table 3). The wider range of variation noticed in all the characters would offer scope for selection for improvement of desirable types. Similar observations were recorded by Dudhe et al, 2019b which supports the present findings.

The estimates of PCV were moderate in case of seed yield per plant (19.13%), hulling% (15.73%), oil content (12.02%), 100 seed weight (11.28%) (Table 4). The estimates of GCV were moderate in case of seed yield per plant (16.20%). All of the characteristics had a larger phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), which implies that environmental variables influenced their expression. The large difference in phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation suggested their sensitivity to environmental changes, whereas the smaller difference indicated less environmental interference in the expression of these characteristics. The qualities with the highest phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation are economically important, and they can be improved by selection. The high estimate of genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for the seed yield per plant (28.26%) followed by days to 50% flowering (15.10%), hulling (14.66%), plant height (14.02%), days to maturity (13.85%). The genetic advance as per cent of mean was moderate number of 100 g seed weight (10.88%) and volume of weight (8.01%). High heritability was associated with high genetic advance as percent of mean for plant height, while high heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for 100g seed weight. Moderate heritability along with low genetic advance as percent of mean was expressed by remaining characters

Variability is an essential pre-requisite for any crop development effort, especially for traits where improvement is needed. The overall variance was divided into three categories: phenotypic, genotypic, and environmental factors. The magnitude of PCV was higher than the GCV for all the ten characters under study. A narrow gap between PCV and GCV were observed for all the characters, suggesting non-significant influence of the environment on these traits with additive gene effect. Similar findings were also reported by Dudhe et al. (2019a) and Makane et al. (2011). The highest heritability was recorded for Days to 50% flowering followed by plant height, days to maturity, seed yield per plant. The moderate value for heritability was recorded for volume weight followed by 100 seed weight, hulling, seed filling per cent. The low value for heritability oil content, head diameter, our results are in agreement with the earlier findings of Virupakshappa and Sindagi (1988), Gangappa (1991), Suma and Virupakshappa (1994),

Patil et al. (1996) and Reddy and Reddy (2006). The result showed that highest value for genetic advance was observed for plant height followed by days to maturity which may implies the presence of additive genes in the trait and further suggest reliable crop improvement through selection of such traits. The low estimate of genetic advance was observed for seed yield per plant, days to 50% flowering, hulling, volume of weight, seed filling per cent and oil content while least genetic advance was recorded for 100 seed weight head diameter. Similar results were reported by Sultana et al. (2005) and Reddy and Reddy (2006).

In conclusion we have recorded large amount of morphological variation through the present finding based on DUS descriptors and can be utilized to distinguish the genotype from others. Strip colour and for ray floret colour differentiation can utilize to identify the genotype at seed stage and at flowering stage which may serve as morphological markers. A narrow gap between PCV and GCV were observed for all the characters, suggesting non-significant influence of the environment on these traits with additive gene effect i.e. mechanism of quantitative inheritance such that the combined effects of genetic alleles at two or more gene loci are equal to the sum of their individual effects may operate.

Table3: Analysis of variance for different characters

Sr. No	Characters	Replication (D.f=2)	Treatment (D.f=32)	Error (D.f=64)
1	Plant height (cm)	13.5	382.53**	23.8
2	Days to 50% flowering	4.58	59.05**	0.9
3	Days to maturity	25.18	176.65**	20.48
4	Head diameter(cm)	0.00	0.47**	0.12
5	Seed filling (%)	11.27	40.72**	15.36
6	Seed yield per plant (g)	11.76	100.57**	11.65
7	Oil content (%)	37.83	21.75**	10.57
8	100 g seed weight (g)	0.01	0.48**	0.12
9	Hull content (%)	10.67	40.88**	15.26
10	Volume weight(g/100 ml)	58.05	31.27**	8.37

** 5% Significant level

Comment [C7]: It is not ideal to write the word "conclusion" because it puts the topic as closed. The most appropriate is to use "final remarks" because it leaves room for further questions.

Comment [C8]: The table must be inserted in the text immediately after its citation.

It should be placed as close to the paragraph in which it was mentioned.

It's weird the tables after completion.

Table4: Variability parameters for different traits under study

S. No	Character	Range	Mean	Genotypic Coefficient variation (GCV %)	Phenotypic Coefficient variation (PCV%)	Heritability (%)	Genetic advance	Genetic advance as per cent of mean
1	Plant height (cm)	116.5-162.1	146.8	7.4	8.1	83.4	20.5	14.0
2	Days to 50% flowering	48.3-63.5	58.5	7.4	7.6	95.5	4.1	15.1
3	Days to maturity	17.2-23.0	21.4	4.0	8.6	22.2	0.8	39.5
4	Head diameter (cm)	3.5 - 5.4	4.3	7.7	11.2	46.8	0.4	10.8
5	Seed filling (%)	27.0-36.9	31.4	6.1	12.0	25.9	2.0	6.4

6	Seed yield per plant (g)	77.1-105.1	90.8	7.9	9.3	71.7	12.5	13.8
7	Oil content (%)	17.6-44.9	33.	16.	19.1	71.7	9.4	28.2
8	100 g seed weight (g)	21.1-36.8	28.1	10.5	15.7	45.2	4.1	14.6
9	Hull content (%)	67.4-80.5	74.0	3.94	6.5	35.8	3.6	4.8
10	Volume weight (g/100 ml)	42.5-57.1	49.4	5.6	8.1	47.9	3.9	8.0

References

- Burton G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. 6th Grassland Congress. 1: 356-363.
- Choudhari A.K., Ghodke M.K., Dudhe M.Y. 2022. Identification of potential parents and hybrids resistant to *Plasmopara halstedii* race-100 in sunflower for the semi-arid dry land environments of India. *Vegetos*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42535-022-00382-7>
- Dudhe M.Y., Sujatha M., Meena H.P., Alivelu K., Ghodke M.K., Shadakshari Y.G., Tyagi R.K., Radhamani, K, Ranganatha A.R.G., Varaprasad K.S., Reddy A.V. 2018. Germplasm catalogue of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L), vol 1. ICAR-Indian Institute of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad.
- Dudhe M. Y., Sujatha M., Meena H. P., Ranganatha A. R. G., Varaprasad K. S. and Reddy A. V. V. 2019a. Genetic variability, diversity and identification of trait-specific accessions from the conserved sunflower germplasm for exploitation in the breeding programme. *Agricultural Research*, 9: 9-22.
- Dudhe M. Y., Ranganatha A. R. G. and Vishnuvardhan Reddy A. 2019b. Identification of restorers and maintainers from newly developed inbreds in sunflower. *Bioscience Discovery* 10(1): 21–24.
- Dudhe, M.Y., Mulpuri, S. 2022. In silico genome-wide discovery and characterization of SSRs and SNPs in powdery mildew disease resistant and susceptible cultivated and wild *Helianthus* species. *Vegetos*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42535-022-00418-y>
- Gangappa E 1991. Evaluation of sunflower germplasm. *Crop Science* 15 (1): 77-78.
- Hamouda F E, Bashirand S G E and Ginaro M K 2016. Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation and other growth attributes in sesame genotype under rainfed conditions. *Advances in Agriculture Science*, 2(3): 79-84.
- Hanson, G.H., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E.1956. Biometrical studies of yield in segregating populations of Korean Lespedeza; *Agron. J*, 48: 268-272
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E.1955a. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *AGRON J*. 47:314-318.

Makane VG, Shinde CA, Mohrir MN, Shoyab SM and Majid AMA 2011 Genetic variability studies in new versions of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Bioinfolet. 8: 44-51.

Patil, B. R., Rudraradhya, M., Vijaykumar, C. H. M., Bassappa, H. and Virupaksha, K. 1996. Genetic variability in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). J. Oilseeds. Res. 13(2): 157- 161 .

Paul A. K. M. A., Islam M. J, Hasan M. M. H. and Chowdhury, A. Z. M. K. A. 2006. Genetic variation of some morpho physiological characters in *Triticum durum* wheat. International Journal for Sustainable Agricultural Technology, 2(8): 11-14.

PPV&FRA (2009). Guidelines for conduct of DUS test for dis-tinctness, uniformity and stability on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). GOI, New Delhi

Ramesh, M., Arunkumar, J., Prashanth, Y., Ranganatha, A.R.G. and Dudhe, M.Y. 2013. Population improvement for seed yield and oil content by using working germplasm in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). SABRAO Journal Breeding and Genetics., 45:291-295.

Reddy Vishnuvardhan, A. and Reddy Nagaraja, R. 2006. Evaluation and Characterization of Sunflower, (*Helianthus annuus* L.) germplasm accessions. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 23:161- 164.

Sivasubramanian, S. and Madhavamenon, P. 1973. Combining ability in rice. Madras Agricultural Journal, 60: 419-421.

Sultana F, Basheeruddin M, Rao PS, Reddy BM. Variability and heritability for seed yield and its components in twelve genotype of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2005; 22(2):392-39.

Suma Mogali C and Virupakshappa K 1994. Characterisation and evaluation of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) germplasm. Indian J. Pl. Breeding 54: 360-65.

Virupakshappa, K. and Sindagi, S.S. 1987. Characterisation, evaluation and utilisation of sunflower germplasm accessions, catalogue. Unit of the Project Coordinator (Sunflower), GKVK, Bangalore.