

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_97393
Title of the Manuscript:	Utility of Repertory of the Homoeopathic Materia Medica by J.T.Kent (Kent's repertory) in the treatment of Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media- A prospective observational study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. However, it will be more valuable to add more similar studies on homeopathy treatment in the discussion. The discussion part only summarised the study Yes. "Homoeopathy offers a better option for treatment of CSOM" this statement might be misleading as the study is a single arm hence no comparison is made with other treatment. Yes. Yes Most references are old (>5 years)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Multiple grammatical errors and some sentence structures caused confusion in the meaning of the sentence. Multiple spelling errors were spotted as well.	
Optional/General comments	Please write the full term of all abbreviation the first time it is used eg DALYs Please elaborate on the safe and unsafe CSOM in the inclusion/exclusion criteria To clarify the subjects age group ie adults only or all age groups Trial registration- The trial could not be registered to Clinical Trials Registry of India- what was the reason for this?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Intan Kartika Kamarudin
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia