

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_97971
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploratory GIS-based Mapping and Analysis of Chronic Kidney Disease incidences in Gashua town, Yobe State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijanr.com/index.php/IJANR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Thank you for submitting your manuscript entitled "Exploratory GIS-based Mapping and Analysis of Chronic Kidney Disease incidences in Gashua town, Yobe State, Nigeria" for review. Overall, I found the paper to be well written and informative, and it makes a good contribution to knowledge. However, there are a few issues that need to be addressed. Firstly, I suggest that you consider rephrasing some of the highlighted sentences to allow for a more natural flow of ideas in the manuscript. The highlighted sections of the abstract could be better integrated into the text to provide a more cohesive narrative. Secondly, while the methodology section provides a good overview of the study design and data collection techniques, I found that the spatial methodology used for this work was not well described. Specifically, it would be helpful to provide more details on how the GIS analysis was conducted, including the specific tools and techniques used to analyze the spatial distribution of CKD cases in the study area. This would help readers better understand the technical aspects of the study and the robustness of the findings. Overall, I believe that this manuscript has the potential to make an important contribution to the field of epidemiology and public health, and I would recommend that the authors address the above issues before submitting a revised version for publication.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeola Akinrinmade
Department, University & Country	Al-Hikmah University, Nigeria