

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_98223
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological Profile of Obese Zebrafish Liver After Administration of Red Spinach (<i>Amaranthus tricolor</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) yes 2. Is the title of the article suitable? yes (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Need to improve (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	x) The MS is well prepared and have strong scientific link with scientific community; I recommend to start with importance of medicinal plant in the introduction section I have provided below few latest refrecnes for it which will make the study more visible to researchers. As there is very less total references in the MS https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6689110 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.900275 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.06.020 x) in the plant detail section 2.1 provide the complete detail from where collected the plant, who identify it and where deposited plants what the herbarium number of the plant x) what are ethnobotanical uses of the selected plant species. x) what is the aim of the study x) what is the chemical composition of the selected plant material. Add these points it will highly improve the study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Provided above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riaz Ullah
Department, University & Country	King Saud University, Saudi Arabia