

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_96608
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS SECTIONAL ANALYSIS OF NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND MAJOR CHRONIC CONDITIONS IN OLDER ADULTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript "CROSS SECTIONAL ANALYSIS OF NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND MAJOR CHRONIC CONDITIONS IN OLDER ADULTS" presents a good study which may be beneficial to the scientific community and provides valuable data which may also be helpful for further studies in this area.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable however, I may suggest to use "CROSS SECTIONAL ANALYSIS OF NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND MAJOR CHRONIC CONDITIONS IN OLDER ADULTS: AN STATISTICAL APPROACH"</p> <p>3. Abstract is well written and covers all aspects needed.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript look fine and appropriate.</p> <p>5. The study provided here is scientifically correct and may pave the way for further research.</p> <p>6. References are latest however, author (s) may include some recent studies done in this area.. Example: Shen, J., Qian, S., Huang, L., Tao, Y., Chen, H., Deng, K., ... & Yuan, C. (2023). Association of the number of natural teeth with dietary diversity and nutritional status in older adults: A cross-sectional study in China. <i>Journal of Clinical Periodontology</i>, 50(2), 242-251. Authors should enrich the literature review with at least covering the past 10 years. May add some more latest references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript should be corrected for English grammar and language. Preferably by taking help from a native speaker. In section "Data Collection" it is written that data was cleaned. Please clarify the statement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the "Discussion" section authors should refer to the table numbers when giving statement about specific data.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Saleem
Department, University & Country	Jubail Industrial College, Saudi Arabia