

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_97300
Title of the Manuscript:	Population Density of Small Cabbage Bug ( <i>Eurydema pulchrum</i> Westw.)(Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in Cabbage Plants of Batu Palano, West Sumatera
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>There are some language and grammar mistakes that should be corrected in the whole paper. The abstract should contain a concise introduction, the aim of the work, a concise method of work, brief results, and recommendations. Also, it should have continued paragraphs as a united part without separation.</p> <p>material and methods should be written as materialS and methods</p> <p>What is the relationship between these collected bugs' identification keys with this site (<a href="http://www.Waterbugkey.vcsu.edu">www.Waterbugkey.vcsu.edu</a>), aquatic insects' taxonomy keys site,?!  <math display="block">D = \frac{x}{y} \times 100\% \text{ should be } D = \frac{x}{y} \times 100</math></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samah Metwally Mahmoud Abd EL-Aziz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The National Research Centre, Egypt</b>