

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_96890
Title of the Manuscript:	Terrestrial Radiation of Some Selected Active Telecommunication Sites in Port Harcourt, Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community in environmental radiation study.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract must contains background, aim, methods, results and discussion, and conclusions</p> <p>In-text citations must be written sequentially from smallest to the next number, i.e. at page 1:gamma rays [1, 2, 3, 20]--- 20 must be 4the human body [4,5, 21]--- 21 must be 6?</p> <p>Please correct in-text citation, i.e. at page 1: [1, 2, 3, 20]---should be written as [1-3, 20]</p> <p>In-text citations must be written using one style, so don't mix them; i.e. at page 3:0.7 Sv/Gy is the dose the conversion coefficient from absorbed dose in air to the effective dose received by adults [11,12] and an occupancy factor of 0.25 for outdoor exposure was used (Olanrewaju and Avwiri, 2017).</p> <p>At page 3: $1\mu R = 876.212 \mu Gy/y$ --- this is not an equation, but only for unit conversions. Equation (4)--- what is the AEDR definition?</p> <p>6. There are 21 references listed in REFERENCE section, but some of them are not cited in the article. References [3] and [6] are also missing.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language and English still has to be improved to meet the requirements for higher quality scientific communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayong Hiendro
Department, University & Country	Tanjungpura University, Indonesia