

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98322
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE PANCREATITIS- A LESSER-KNOWN COMPLICATION OF CELPHOS POISONING- A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes. (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes. (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes. 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The abstract must be emphatic in the objective of the research, in the methodology used and the result obtained. The methodology must be presented and, above all, point out how the sample can validate or not the result obtained. The Conclusion could present the limits of the methodology and point out future research.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes.		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciano Torres Tricárico
Department, University & Country	University of Vale do Itajaí, Brazil