

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_98070
Title of the Manuscript:	Kinetic Viewpoint of BTEX Removal Using Ehugbo-Nigerian Kaolinite Clay Modified with Hexadecyltrimethylammonium Chloride (HDTMAC)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. To safeguard the environment and improve human health Yes. Yes Yes Yes Yes, updated with latest refernces	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper is easy to read and well-constructed. However, the scope of work is too limited. The gap of study and significance of the study in kinetic is not clearly presented. It is suggested to evaluate more kinetic equations. There is a limited discussion for the evaluation of equations, especially IPD. The figure/ plate which is not cited in the text should be eliminated. Figure 1 & 2 should be combined into 1 figure with 2 axis-y. Figure 4-8 repeating Table 1, should be put in appendixes.	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tay Chia Chay
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia