Original Research Article
An Improved Wavelength Diversity Based Free Space Optical Link: Effects of Fog and Atmospheric Turbulence

.

ABSTRACT

Free-space optical (FSO) communication system has received a lot of attention from academia and industries due to its low cost, high security and data rates for various wireless communication applications. However, factors such as fog and atmospheric turbulence degrade the performance of the FSO communication system. Therefore, this study presents an improved wavelength diversity based free space optical link using a single channel approach. The authors employed both the Kim and Al-Naboulsi's models to model the attenuation-induced FSO link. Furthermore, the Gamma-Gamma turbulent model was utilized to explain the turbulent nature of the FSO communication link. Experimental results showed an improved performance in terms Bit Error Rate (BER) and Quality factor of the FSO link up to a distance of 7km compared to the multiple channel approach that gives a signal output for distances less than 3km only. Hence, the impact of attenuation on the FSO link was reduced and the performance of the system enhanced under fog and atmospheric turbulence conditions.

Keywords: Al-Naboulsi's Model, BER, FSO Communication, Gamma-Gamma, Kim Model, Single and Multiple channels.

1. INTRODUCTION

Presently, the rate of increase in data transmission necessitates an efficient communication link with high bandwidth, good channel quality, minimum errors, and maximum performance as well as security. This can be achieved through the utilization of Free Space Optical (FSO) communication system. The FSO communication system is a Line of Sight (LOS) wireless transmission in which data is transmitted via free-space in form of laser beams or infrared rays to obtain broadband communication [1]. This system exhibits a high immunity against Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) and provides a highly secured transmission [2].

The FSO communication system is an existing alternative in contrast to fiber optics technology due to its capability to handle full-duplex data transmission. Although, light information can be routed through fiber cables efficiently, however various applications require the free-space to establish a communication link between the transmitter and receiver [3]. Furthermore, the system provides high data rates in the range of gigabits per second and hence, solving the problem of last mile connectivity [2].

In addition, the FSO technology offers the potential of broadband communication capacity using unlicensed optical wavelengths. However, in-homogeneities in the temperature and pressure of the atmosphere lead to refractive index variations along the transmission path. These refractive index variations lead to spatial as well as temporal variations in optical intensity incident on a receiver, resulting to fading. The faded links caused by these atmospheric effects can cause performance degradation manifested by increased Bit Error Rate (BER) and transmission delays [4].

Therefore, this study presents an improved wavelength diversity based free space optical link using a single channel approach. This approach is a Multiple-Input Single-Output (MISO) based approach that enables multiple lasers with varying wavelengths to transmit similar signal via a single channel. The time variation in the refractive index and atmospheric temperature will vary for different wavelengths. Furthermore, the impact of attenuation reduces as a result of its variation for different wavelengths at similar period of time. In addition, an optical amplifier is installed at the receiver end in order to amplify the noise power as well as recover a very high quality of transmitted signal.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the review of related studies, section 3 presents the system model and design parameters. The experimental results obtained are presented in section 4, while conclusions are done section 5.

2. RELATED WORKS

This section presents the review of existing studies that have been carried out in the area of FSO link. In this regard, the Bit Error Rate (BER) performance of a Free-Space Optical (FSO) communication links over solid turbulence fading channels collectively with misalignment (pointing error) impacts was investigated [5]. The authors assessed the average BER in a closed form operating in the channel environment, assuming intensity modulation or direct detection with on-off keying. However, the atmospheric turbulence and the building sway which are the two prevailing variables influencing the performance of optical wireless communications were not considered.

A spectral model of optical scintillation to design a terrestrial FSO communication link was presented in [6]. This helped to estimate in a current optical wireless channel, the power spectral density of optical scintillation when weather parameters and time zone such as rainfall and temperature intensity are provided. However, due to the constraint in the experimental site, the extension of the proposed model was not verified.

The influence of turbulence effect on Free-space Optical Interconnection (FSOI) channels inside a server framework was experimentally examined in [7]. The authors discovered that the fading statistics follow the notable log-normal distribution that is generally utilized for turbulent fading portrayal. However, the measured spatial and temporal correlation functions do not fit the frequently acknowledged turbulence theory predictions. Thus, decreasing the inter channel spacing for achieving an efficient diverse communication, thereby decreasing the spatial inter channel correlation.

In [8], an investigation of the performance of FSO communication systems under the impacts of bad weather conditions particularly for fog, heavy rain, dry and wet snow was presented. The performance of link was analyzed for these conditions and was enhanced by a method of using array of receivers. However, the system can be improved at higher data rate over a longer link range under all weather conditions along with atmospheric turbulences to improve the usage of free space optics technology.

Furthermore, the performance of FSO communication links under weak atmospheric turbulence was studied and analyzed [9]. The authors designed and presented a flow chart for the evaluation of performance of the FSO communication links based on the obtained mathematical expressions. However, the system performance in terms of outage probability can be enhanced different diversity schemes. Furthermore, Multi Input Multi Output (MIMO) can be introduced to help improve the system capacity.

In addition, the impacts of fog, rain, and snow on the performance of FSO communication link was analyzed by employing Gamma-Gamma turbulence model in terms of attenuation coefficient [10]. The authors employed Kim and Kruise model to investigate the attenuation of different wavelengths in the presence of fog. The experimental results obtained showed that higher wavelengths experiences less atmospheric attenuation in comparison to smaller wavelengths. However, the attenuation turn out to be wavelength independent for visibility range of less than 500 meters.

In view of these limitations identified from the review of related works, this study seeks to investigate and improve the impacts of fog and atmospheric turbulence on the performance of FSO communication system using wavelength diversity.

3. SYSTEM MODEL

The attenuation-induced FSO link was modelled using the Kim and Al-Naboulsi's model. The Gamma-Gamma turbulent model was used to explain the turbulent nature of the FSO communication link. These models were utilized to model the channel through which the signal will pass.

3.1 Kim Model

The attenuation according to Kim model is presented in equation 1 [11].

$$A = \frac{3.192}{V}\left(\frac{\lambda}{550}\right)^{-q} \text{ dB/km} \tag{1}$$

Where V is the visibility in km, and λ is the wavelength in nm.

The model estimates the attenuation in the visible and near infrared bands. The coefficient ‘q’ which depends on the particle size distribution, is determined from experimental data and presented in equation 2 [12].

$$q = \begin{cases} 1.6 \; for \; V > 50km \\ 1.3 \; for \; 6km < V < 50km \\ 0.16V + 0.34 \; for \; 1km < V < 6km \\ V - 0.5 \; for \; 0.5km < V < 1km \\ 0 \; for \; V < 0.5km \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

3.2 Al-Naboulsi Model

Two models of attenuation prediction for convection and advection fog types have been proposed from this model namely; Al-Naboulsi's convection fog model and Al-Naboulsi's advection fog model

3.2.1 Al-Naboulsi's Convection Fog Model

Convection fog is formed when the temperature drops close to the dew point, thereby forming water vapour in the atmosphere which condenses and obstructs visibility. This fog appears when the air is adequately cool and becomes saturated. It usually arises at the end of the day or at night when meteorological conditions are favorable. The convection fog attenuation prediction is presented in equation 3 [13].

$$\sigma_{convection=} 4.343\left(\frac{0.18126\lambda^2+0.13709\lambda+3.7502}{V}\right) \qquad (3)$$

Where V is the visibility in km, and λ is the wavelength in nm.

3.2.2 Al-Naboulsi's Advection Fog Model

Advection fog is formed when wet, hot air passes over a cooler or terrestrial surface, the air associated with the surface area is cooled inferior to its dew point, thereby forming condensation of water vapour to condense and obstruct visibility. The advection fog attenuation prediction is equation 4 [13].

$$\sigma_{advection=} 4.343\left(\frac{0.11478\lambda+3.8367}{V}\right) \qquad (4)$$

Where V is the visibility in km, and λ is the wavelength in nm.

3.3 Gamma-Gamma Turbulence Model

The gamma-gamma turbulence model is centered on the modulation process where the variation of light radiation passing through a turbulent atmosphere is assumed to contain large-scale (refraction) and small-scale (scattering) impacts. The small-scale variations include contributions due to cells or eddies lesser than Fresnel zone while the large-scale variations are created by turbulent eddies greater than that of the initial Fresnel zone. Subsequently, the normalized received irradiance I_t is expressed as the product of two independent processes I_x and I_y as presented in equation 5.

$$I_t = I_x I_y \qquad (5)$$

Where I_y and I_x comes from small-scale and large-scale turbulent eddies respectively and are equally anticipated to obey the gamma-gamma Probability Distribution Function (PDF). Their PDF is presented equation 6 [14].

$$p(I_t) = \frac{2(\alpha\beta)^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} I_t^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)^{-1}} K_{\alpha-\beta}\left(2\sqrt{\alpha\beta I}\right);\ I_t > 0 \qquad (6)$$

Where α and β are the actual number of large-scale and small-scale eddies of the scattering process, $K_{\alpha-\beta}$ represent the Bessel function and Γ is the gamma function.

If the optical radiation at the receiver is anticipated to be a plane wave, hence, the two parameters α and β which characterize the irradiance fluctuation PDF can be expressed in equations 7 and 8 respectively [15].

$$\alpha = exp\left[\left(\frac{0.49\sigma_l^2}{\left(1+1.11\sigma_l^{12/5}\right)^{7/6}}\right)-1\right]^{-1} \qquad (7)$$

$$\beta = exp\left[\left(\frac{0.51\sigma_l^2}{\left(1+0.69\sigma_l^{12/5}\right)^{5/6}}\right)-1\right]^{-1} \quad (8)$$

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the simulation results obtained based on the impact of fog and atmospheric turbulence on the performance of FSO communication link. The performance of the link was analyzed in terms of BER and Q-Factor using both single and multiple channels approach. In addition, the attenuation coefficients were varied from 0.25dB/km to 160dB/km. This is called for all possible attenuations using Kim, Al-Naboulsi and Gamma-Gamma turbulence model at 1km-10km range of visibility.

Figs 1 and 2 presents sample of the simulation results obtained at different wavelengths and distances using the multiple channel approach.



(a) Transmitted Power **(b) Received Power**

Fig.1. Transmitted and Received Power at 690nm (1km)



(a) Received Power **(b) Quality Factor**

Fig. 2. Received Power and Quality Factor at 1600nm (3km)

Fig 1 shows the transmitted and received optical power using 690nm wavelength over a distance of 1km. The amount of power transmitted from each laser is 60dBm. However, after going through modulation process, the transmitted optical power dropped to about 56dBm as presented in Figure 1(a). Furthermore, due to the amount of attenuation present in the FSO channel, the received optical signal dropped to about 36dBm as presented in Figure 1(b).

Fig 2 presents the received optical power and eye diagram using the 1600nm wavelength distance of 3km. The eye diagram presented in Figure 2(b) shows a linear decline of BER and Q-Factor values due to the amount of attenuation present in the FSO channel and the increase in the distance range.

Table 1 presents the summary of results obtained for transmitted and received optical powers using multiple channel approach for a wavelength of 690nm, 780nm, 850nm, 980nm, 1060nm, 1140nm, 1550nm and 1600nm over 1-10km distance range respectively.

Table 1(a). Results of Transmitted Optical Powers using Multiple Channel Approach

Range (km)	Attenuation (dB/km)	Transmitted Power (dBm)	Transmitted Optical Power (dBm)
1	0.25	60	56.7816
2	18.00	60	56.7816
3	35.75	60	56.8521
4	53.50	60	56.7816
5	71.25	60	56.9214
6	89.00	60	56.9897
7	106.75	60	56.7100
8	124.50	60	56.8521
9	142.25	60	56.8521
10	160.00	60	56.7100

Table 1(b). Results of Received Optical Powers using Multiple Channel Approach

Range (km)	Received Optical Power (dB/km)								BER	Quality Factor
	690nm	780nm	850nm	980nm	1060nm	1140nm	1550nm	1600nm		
1	36.173	36.388	36.173	36.457	36.388	36.388	36.388	36.317	0	10845.9
2	-5.3469	-5.4913	-5.3469	-5.2764	-5.2764	-5.3469	-5.2764	-5.3469	0	182.479
3	-80.012	-80.155	-80.012	-80.083	-80.155	-80.012	-80.083	-80.227	1	0
4	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	1	0
5	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	1	0
6	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	1	0
7	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	1	0
8	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	1	0
9	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	1	0
10	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	1	0

Table 1 presents the summary of results obtained for transmitted and received optical powers using multiple channel approach. Table 1(a) shows the results of the transmitted optical powers for wavelengths of 690nm, 780nm, 850nm, 980nm, 1060nm, 1140nm, 1550nm and 1600nm over a distance of 1-10km. While Table 1(b) shows the results of the received optical powers, BER and Quality factors obtained for these wavelengths.

Figs 3 to 6 presents sample of the simulation results obtained at different wavelengths and distances using the single channel approach.



(a) Transmitted Power **(b) Received Power**

Fig. 3. Transmitted and Received Power at 690-1600nm (1km)

Fig 3 shows the transmitted and received optical power at a wavelength of 690-1600nm over a distance of 1km. The amount of power transmitted from each laser is 60dBm. However, after going through modulation process, the transmitted optical power dropped to about 56dBm as presented in Fig 3(a). Furthermore, due to the amount of attenuation present in the FSO channel, the received optical signal dropped to about 36dBm as presented in Fig 3(b).



(a) Minimum BER **(b) Quality Factor**

Fig. 4. Mininum BER and Quality Factor for 690-1600nm (1km)

Fig 4 present simulation result obtained for the Quality factor and BER for a wavelength of 690-1600nm over 1km distance range. The result depicts a minimum BER of 0 and a reliable Quality factor value of about 11133.1. This shows that the signal coming out from the receiver is of a very high quality and error free.

Figs 5 and 6 presents the Quality factor obtained for a wavelength of 690-1600nm over distances of 7km and 9km respectively.



Fig. 5. Quality Factor for 690-1600nm (7km)



Fig. 6. Quality Factor for 690-1600nm (9km)

Fig 5 shows the simulation result obtained for the Quality factor of a wavelength of 690-1600nm at a distance of 7km. The result shows that there is a Quality factor value, however the output is quiet noisy. While the simulation result presented in Fig 6 shows the value of the Quality factor to be zero; and thus, shows no signal output at 9km range of visibility.

Table 2 presents the summary of the results obtained using single channel approach. at a wavelength of 690nm-1600nm over 1-10km distance range respectively.

Table 2. Simulation Results using Single Channel Approach

Range (Km)	Attenuation (dB/km)	Transmitted Power (dBm)	Transmitted Optical power (dBm)	Received Optical Power (dBm)	BER	Quality Factor
1	0.25	60	56.7905	38.4738	0	11133.1
2	18	60	56.8346	22.0761	0	9879.73
3	35.75	60	56.8082	14.5056	0	6770.79
4	53.5	60	56.7905	5.48131	0	3751
5	71.25	60	56.8346	-5.11432	0	1018.61
6	89	60	56.8258	-17.4902	1.49686e-133	24.5522
7	106.75	60	56.7994	-31.6689	0.000948054	3.09112
8	124.5	60	56.8082	-47.6352	1	0
9	142.25	60	56.7816	-65.486	1	0
10	160	60	56.7727	-85.1872	1	0

From the result obtained, the single channel approach was able to minimize the BER performance of the FSO link as well as maximize the Quality factor efficiently up to a distance of 7km compared to the individual channel model which was able to give a signal output for distance ranges less than 3km only. Hence, the single channel model showed a promising approach to enhance the performance of the FSO link under fog and atmospheric turbulence condition.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, an improved wavelength diversity based free space optical link using a single channel approach was presented. The proposed approach enabled multiple lasers with varying wavelengths to transmit similar signal via a single channel. Also, an optical amplifier was installed at the receiving end in order to amplify the noise power and also to recover a very high quality of transmitted signal. The simulation results obtained shows that the single channel model minimizes the BER performance of the FSO link and maximizes the Quality factor efficiently up to 7km distance range compared to the multiple channel approach that gives a signal output for distances less than 3km only. Hence, the impact of attenuation on the FSO link was reduced and the performance of the system is enhanced under fog and atmospheric turbulence conditions. In addition, the single channel model is the most proficient model to transmit signals at high performance under fog and atmospheric turbulence condition. However, future work should focus on ways to modify the optical amplifiers in order to improve the noise power at the receiving end.

REFERENCES

1. Majumdar AK, Ricklin JC, Editors. Free-Space Laser Communications: Principles and Advances. Springer Science & Business Media; 2010 May 5.
2. Majumdar AK. Advanced Free Space Optics (FSO): A Systems Approach. Springer; 2014 Sep 10.
3. Bloom S, Korevaar E, Schuster J, Willebrand H. Understanding the Performance of Free-Space Optics. Journal of Optical Networking. 2003 Jun 6;2(6):178-200.
4. Chaudhary S, Amphawan A. The role and challenges of free-space optical systems. Journal of Optical Communications. 2014 Dec 1;35(4):327-34.
5. Sandalidis HG, Tsiftsis TA, Karagiannidis GK, Uysal M. BER performance of FSO links over strong atmospheric turbulence channels with pointing errors. IEEE Communications Letters. 2008 Jan 16;12(1):44-46.
6. Kim KH, Higashino T, Tsukamoto K, Komaki S, Kazaura K, Matsumoto M. Spectral model of optical scintillation for terrestrial free-space optical communication link design. Optical Engineering. 2011 Mar 25;50(3):035005-035005.
7. Bykhovsky D, Elmakias D, Arnon S. Experimental evaluation of free space links in the presence of turbulence for server backplane. Journal of Lightwave Technology. 2015 Apr 15;33(14):2923-2929.
8. Gupta A, Bakshi S, Shaina MC, Chaudhary M. Improving performance of Free Spac e Optics link using array of receivers in terrible weather conditions of plain an d hilly areas. Int. J. Adv. Res. Artif. Intell. 2016;5(3):18-25.
9. Kumar A, Jain PK. Free Space Optical Communication Link under the Weak Atmospheric Turbulence. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET). 2018 Jun;5(06).
10. Singh H, Chechi DP. Performance evaluation of free space optical (FSO) communication link: effects of rain, snow and fog. In2019 6th International conference on signal processing and integrated networks (SPIN) 2019 Mar 7 (pp. 387-390). IEEE.

11. Xie G, Dang A, Guo H. Effects of atmosphere dominated phase fluctuation and intensity scintillation to DPSK system. In2011 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC) 2011 Jun 5 (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
12. Kim II, McArthur B, Korevaar EJ. Comparison of laser beam propagation at 785 nm and 1550 nm in fog and haze for optical wireless communications. InOptical wireless communications III 2001 Feb 6 (Vol. 4214, pp. 26-37). Spie.
13. Waghmare MS, Mohani SP. International Journal of Electronics And Communication Engineering & Technology (IJECET). Journal Impact Factor. 2013 Sep;4(5):207-213.
14. Al-Habash MA, Andrews LC, Phillips RL. Mathematical model for the irradiance probability density function of a laser beam propagating through turbulent media. Optical engineering. 2001 Aug 1;40(8):1554-1562.
15. Popoola WO, Ghassemlooy Z, Ahmadi V. Performance of sub-carrier modulated free-space optical communication link in negative exponential atmospheric turbulence environment. International Journal of Autonomous and Adaptive Communications Systems. 2008 Jan 1;1(3):342-355.