

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_97556
Title of the Manuscript:	SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS, SOLUTIONS, TYPES AND ITS EFFECTS; A CASE STUDY OF OKPOKO COMMUNITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	yes  yes  yes  yes  no. In this paper, the data is not time series, so I do not think that multiple linear regression could be applied.  not quite	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This study is useful. But the part of further analysis using multiple regression to analysis the results of possible solution is not proper. I think that this part is not correct.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suwimon Kooptiwoot
Department, University & Country	Suan Sunandha Rajabhat Univesity, Thailand