

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_98472</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on Vegetative Growth Parameter of Liliium (Lilium Longiflorum) cv. Pavia Under Shade Net Condition</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1.The article is appropriate for scientific community  <b>2. the title of the article is suitable</b>  <b>3.Abstract is not comprehensive, the title of the article should not be in the abstract, the objective of the work should be in the abstract, no mention of statistical analysis used to determine significance difference between and among treatments, conclusion or suggestion should be stated in abstract.</b> <b>4.subsections and structure of the manuscript not appropriate. There should be subsections eg, treatments, measurement of growth parameters, determination of chlorophyll contents. Indicate how the growth parameters, chlorophyll contents were determined.</b> <b>5. manuscript is scientifically correct</b>  <b>6.References not sufficient and not recent. Many missing references</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Discussion, materials and methods should be properly written. Evidence of statistical analysis should be stated eg. Standard errors of means, significant difference between treatments	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Olasupo John Ilori</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Anchor University, Nigeria</b>