

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_97523
Title of the Manuscript:	OBLIQUE TRANSFERENCE TECHNIQUE FOR MULTI RETAILER SUPPLY CHAIN SYSTEM INVENTORY CONTROL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) It's an interesting article. Congratulations to authors. I just have suggested that it can be useful idea to explain the model with some productive sector (industry or sector), mainly cause to illustrate that thee horizontal parcel approach is significantly better than a strategy of no such parcels concerning cost decrease. Just it!</p> <p>2) Title is ok. 3) Abstract is ok. 4) Subsections and structure are ok. 5) This manuscript used a stronger maths base. Its ok in my opinion. 6) References are ok.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is ok. Maybe some figures can be better adapted to final article format. See pages 7 and 8 for instance.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claudio Zancan
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal Do Paraná, Brazil