

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_98094
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation and selection of wheat advanced lines for salt tolerance using yield and yield attributes as screening tool
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Manuscript is very important for the scientific community especially in this error of climate change. 2. The original title Evaluation and selection of wheat advanced lines for salt tolerance could be appropriate if data on soil analysis before the trial is available and also control plots of no salt application was created. The topic seems to be more like Genetic variability of wheat (<i>Triticumaestivum</i> L.) genotypes under saline soil conditions in Banglادish. If you wish to maintain the original title you may use this edited version if found appropriate: Evaluation and selection of wheat (<i>Triticumaestivum</i> L.) advanced lines for salt tolerance in Banglادish 3. Abstract is ok with some suggestions in text and comments 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. However, some discussions were found in the results section and it has been suggested to be moved accordingly Other suggestions have been made in text and comments section for appropriate action. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct subject to minor corrections and suggestions made 6. The references are not quite sufficient. Other relevant references have been suggested in text and comments especially literature on Genetic variability and its components etc. Additional suggestions are found in the text and comments section.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language /English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. However, there were few construction mistakes and corrections have been suggested	
Optional/General comments	Most of the comments are in the text and comment section	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manfred Bondzie Ewool
Department, University & Country	Ghana