

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJL2C_97953</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Teachers' Pressure to Adopt Differentiated Instruction in Higher Education in Hong Kong</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Opinion Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>It is thoughtful research and is important for higher education. However, the research is rather routine.</p> <p>Title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is thorough but has a rather nonstandard form.</p> <p>All appropriate.</p> <p>It is correct insofar as it goes. However, nothing seems to be given about the sample size and demographics of their study group. No information was given about the instrutors. Moreover, it is action research and highly qualitative results are given. Little specifics are given beyond just the broad strokes of constructivism. Therefore, it would be difficult to mimic their study.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is good.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>G Donald Allen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Texas A&amp;M University, USA</b>