

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Archives of Current Research International</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_ACRI_97051</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Senior High School heads' Perception on Predominant forms of Continuous Professional Development</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <b>YES</b><br><b>YES</b><br><b>YES</b><br><b>Need modification</b><br><b>Yes</b><br><br><b>Only actually referred publication should be mentioned.</b><br><br>1. Clerical mistakes should be rectified<br>2. Need for the study, Objectives of the study, Hypothesis of the study, Statement of the Problem, Delimitation of the study must be mentioned in separate headings.<br>3. Design of Analysis and mathematical formula used to get and analyse the output should be mentioned<br>4. Details of the samples with respect to their professional experience, Gender, qualification etc should be mentioned in the methodology section.<br>5. <b>Actually refereed citations should be mentioned in the bibliography section.</b> |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | OK  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | NA  |   |

### **PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Minati Rani Mohapatra</b>            |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Composite Regional Centre, India</b> |