

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_98650
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Forest Trees and Shrubs in Nun River Forest, Nigeria: Ornamental perspective
Type of the Article	Research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, but author write little more about the importance of local forest ornamental species to the scientific communities. It will be helpful to understand the usefulness of the species in better way.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The author's results are still not justified in the result and discussion section. I believe the author should include some previous national/international scientific works related to his/her work. In Table 1 author has written Plot 1,2,3,4 and in Table 2 author wrote Plot A,B,C,D,E, please correct from write one.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Add some new references</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is found suitable in this manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajay Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Guru Ghasidas University, India