

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_99425
Title of the Manuscript:	POINT PREVALENCE SURVEY OF ANTIMICROBIAL PRESCRIPTION AND INDICATORS IN A TERTIARY HEALTHCARE CENTER IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Information contained are very important to the scientific community. Antimicrobial stewardship is a great concern to healthcare. Quite suitable Abstract is well written Appropriate Study design and methodology appear appropriate for the scope. Recency of references quite ok.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quite suitable. However, areas for minor corrections are highlighted: Consider revising the <u>underlined sentence</u> on red highlights; those with lines across can be deleted.	
Optional/General comments	Although paper is a professional/technical one, however, there is need to include keys to abbreviations to enhance understanding by the wider audience.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Easter Godwin Nwokah
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria