

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_99271
Title of the Manuscript:	Intestinal Parasitic Infection Induce The Host's Chronic Immune Activation And Systemic Inflammation That Contributes To Stunting
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes manuscript is important for scientific community. Intestinal infections are prevalent through out the world.</p> <p>Author should reframe title of article Title can be "Role of Intestinal Parasitic Infection in Stunting"</p> <p>Author has not written introduction in Abstract. Author to kindly check. Author should increase the word limit of abstract up to 250 words Keywords: Author should limit keywords to 5-6 in no. Yes manuscript is scientifically correct. Author may kindly check that in Abstract Aims ,discussion and conclusion has been written, but Author has mentioned only introduction and conclusion in text. Author to kindly check the format. Yes ,references are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In introduction Author has mentioned "most globally accepted pathway to stunting is a theory named 'vicious cycle'. Author has Elaborated vicious cycle. Details are not required. But instead Author should limit the content to changes caused by Intestinal infections that cause stunting. Similarly details of 'how calories and nutrients are lost due to the combination of IPI and stunting' are not relevant.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harpreet Kaur
Department, University & Country	SGRDIMSAR, India