

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_98790
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Activity of Herbal Plants used for Treatment of Gastroenteritis Infection in Tharaka Nithi County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The article mentions about the utility of plant extracts in treating gastrointestinal infections.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There is inconsistency in abstract and in the main article.</p> <p>Choice of the organisms for the study is not satisfactory. Ecoli is a normal commensal. Only few species of Ecoli can cause GE which is not mentioned. Salmonella is known to cause enteric fever. S. typhimurium should have been chosen which can cause food poisoning and gastroenteritis. Methodology has not been described properly. The result section is very confusing.</p> <p>In discussion part no references or studies are mentioned which show effect of these plant products in treating gastroenteritis. Other effects of extracts of plant products are discussed in detail which may not be relevant.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The procedure has to be re analysed and written. Many questions about the out come are unanswered. Interpretation of the results is not correctly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uma B.M
Department, University & Country	MVJ Medical College and Research Hospital, India