

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_98207
Title of the Manuscript:	Demographics and clinical patterns of Retinopathy of Prematurity at the University of Teaching Hospitals, Women and Newborn Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>This article talks about only the Demographics and Patterns but not its association with ROP where the Stay in NICU ,O2 exposure and duration of exposure and also the follow up after 4 weeks after birth for actual Observation ROP.</p> <p>Suitable as it has lot of Limitations in this study ,Some risk factors need to be mentioned in the abstract which are really important.</p> <p>Needs some corrections,31-33 weeks 4-6 weeks post GA. Subsections are perfect in exclusion criteria as critical illness is also one of the risk factor. From there aspect it is correct but usefulness of this paper is Less informative .</p> <p>Yes This is very important study where association of risk factors to ROP should be identified at the centre with proper follow up as definitely their will be some Stages of ROP such a prematurity cases.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Language and communication is good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	What I have understand from this study is all the Risk factors and its association has not been presented in this study which is the biggest limitation and as they say in Africa many countries have shown incidence of ROP between 7%-40% in various studies which in their study nil in 110 babies with 50% of their babies being preterm but none of the baby without any stage of ROP its really unbelievable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Srikanth Sandanala
Department, University & Country	Niloufer Hospital, Kaloji Narayana Rao University of Health Sciences, India