

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_98207
Title of the Manuscript:	Demographics and clinical patterns of Retinopathy of Prematurity at the University of Teaching Hospitals, Women and Newborn Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Manuscript important for scientific community, its title is suitable, the abstract is comprehensive, structure of the manuscript is appropriate, the manuscript is scientifically correct, and the references of the manuscript are sufficient and recent. ➤ Introduction had more details; it should be summarized. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ English quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communications ➤ Where are the opinion of the researchers in the discussion of their results. ➤ Add significance of the study(importance of this study for education, practice and research). ➤ The researcher should add the reason of using this type of research design 	
Optional/General comments	- Procedure or steps of data collection of the study needs to be more explained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heba Ahmed Mohammed Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt