

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_98513
Title of the Manuscript:	Access to quality education, a basic right of every child
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The related article is an important topic from Preschool Education and primary education, which is a big problem in the world as well as in India. It can provide information about this topic that the researcher is getting closer to. However, he did not go beyond shooting the known facts again. A little more beautiful information and existing information can be said to be below.</p> <p>2- Suitable for the beginning of the reel. In addition, it can use the title "Right of Access to Quality Education in India and Analysis of the Current Situation".</p> <p>3- Since there is no numerical data in the property of the article, the limit has been met in terms of case test construction.</p> <p>4- There was no comment because there was no explanation in the lower sections of the article. The given beginnings may be more descriptive.</p> <p>5- A social journal article is more appropriate than the scientific dimension of the article. A little more scientific concerns can be corrected. And object data can compare the examples of the world with the examples of India. In addition, the confrontation of the ise states in the Indian subcontinent could be put forward.</p> <p>6- The current references are up-to-date, but the references are limited to only a few sources. There is a lot of similar research on education in the world. The researcher is a little more literature scanning.</p> <p>For example: Copenhaver-Johnson, J. . (2007). Undoing the advances in multicultural education: No Child Left Behind and "highly qualified teachers". Multicultural Perspectives, 9 (4), 40-47.</p> <p>Note: If the researcher's article is supported by quantitative data, it is possible for it to turn into a good policy article. If a researcher wants to have a reference research, especially in the field of education, he/she should use the literature review extensively.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the article is used quite simply and clearly. There are no problems.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>As mentioned earlier, if the author had managed to analyze the current situation, he could have implemented a more descriptive and clear approach. With this article, we cannot clearly see what effects an educational protocol in India has or at what stage children and their teachers are at. In addition, we cannot define what shortcomings teachers face within this education system. These are issues that need to be explained a little more. When we are talking about about 200,000 children who will have a dynamic young population in the future, we do not understand how to plan for them.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vahdet Alaeddinođlu
Department, University & Country	Atatürk University, Türkiye