

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_98860
Title of the Manuscript:	STATIC MODEL FOR THE DRAIN RATE OF CLAY EXTRACTION AND POWER CONSUMPTION FOR SAND WASHING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of „**lack of Novelty**“, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES! The article is a brilliant write-up that contain a quality materials for scientific andresearch purposes. YES! The article's title is corresponding with the reportYES! The abstract is very OK! Absolutely YES! YES! The manuscript is scientifically CORRECT. The references are good. But a room for updates and/or addition. The author should make further review on the recommendation and correct as appropriateas possible.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES! The language used is excellent	
Optional/General comments	Kindly obey the review suggestion and make corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Nuru Idris
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria