

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_98351
Title of the Manuscript:	On Representations of the Lorentz Group
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The information may be interesting, but the way the manuscript is written does not help at all in this regard. It's difficult to be read. If the author or the editorial team intervenes in the form of the manuscript, I am sure that the work becomes interesting. Yes Yes Yes Yes No, the references (2 out of 3) are not recent (almost 60 years old) and certainly not enough. I have no suggestion. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	I would say no, the way of writing does not help in this regard.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Page 2: Line 1 from top: ``???`` means? Everything that is a mathematical equation must be written in the equation editor, even if it is in line with the text (it must not be written from the keyboard ...) (Example: page 5, third line of the second paragraph after (18): ``p`` from ``p-component`` is the same with the ``p`` from (18)? If yes, then, ``p-component`` instead of ``p-component`` (and the same remark for m-, n- and others). Between text and ``.`` or ``.`` there is no line. (Just after ``.`` or ``.`` there must be one space.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>I think not</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patriciu Alina-Mihaela
Department, University & Country	Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania