

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_98351</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>On Representations of the Lorentz Group</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. No. The manuscript presents only a survey of the properties of the Lorentz Group, which are well-known in the literature.  2. No. The title refers only to Lorentz groups but in the manuscript are discussions about state vectors of relativistic quantum mechanics.  3. No. There is no clear aim of the manuscript.  4. No. The manuscript is not completely in accordance with the Instruction for the Authors of the Journal.  5. No. It is not developed a formulation to get some specific result.  6. No. There are only three references to standard books and no references to papers.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No.	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Daniel Lima Nascimento</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Physics, University of Brasilia, Brazil</b>