

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_97987
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY SCREENING OF PATHOGENIC BACTERIA SPECIES ISOLATED FROM LOCALLY PRODUCED SOYBEAN (<i>Glycine max</i>) MILK HAWKED IN PORT HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This work is important for the local community in that country and also to the scientific community because soymilk is used universally. 2. Isolation of pathogens from the soymilk available in the market and its response to antibiotics. 3. Yes 4. Yes. 5. Yes 6. References are quite recent ones and adequate.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Acceptable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John T. Eapen
Department, University & Country	India