

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_98906
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF GRANULAR KCl FERTILIZER DOSAGE ON K-AVAILABLE, PLANT K-UPTAKE, AND YIELD OF SWEET CORN (<i>Zea mays saccharata</i> Sturt.) IN INCEPTISOL FROM JATINANGOR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article 'KCl should be written in full; potassium chloride

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES Yes the abstract and concise and comprehensive. However, this statement is contradictory "The dose of fertilizer that had the best effect on sweet corn yield was a combination of 1 K + N, P single with 100 kg ha ⁻¹ KCl granules" Yes from the results reported Authors need to work on their references some references are numbered in text e.g. [1] while others are named. Most references (12) in text are not captured in reference section and about 5 in reference sections not in text. Only 3 references were quoted in text and reflect in reference sections. I have highlighted for the authors to consider.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not bad. But, authors should consider legend chart in their histogram. The language used should change to English. Example, for plant height, Tinggi Tanaman (cm), and this is applicable to all the histogram. Figures in all the tables should be written properly and the alphabet to be in superscript. Standard is written at legend key as standar	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakiya Abdullahi
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria