

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_98906
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF GRANULAR KCl FERTILIZER DOSAGE ON K-AVAILABLE, PLANT K-UPTAKE, AND YIELD OF SWEET CORN (<i>Zea mays saccharata</i> Sturt.) IN INCEPTISOL FROM JATINANGOR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Nonparametric statistics should be used. Median gives good condition; i.e., D ½ dose KCl Granular + N, P 0,39 ab 0,28 bc 5,20 bc 19,17 bc and/or E ¾ dose KCl Granular + N, P 0,42 bc 0,28 bc 5,26 cd 19,54 c seems to be best. I think that insecticides (profenofos) and fungicide (mancozeb) should not be utilized, in order to be safe for Children, Further, I consider that K seems to be actively transported.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kou Hayakawa
Department, University & Country	National Research Institute fo Child Health and Development, Japan