

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99045
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) under Agro-climatic Zone of Prayagraj
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>10 different varieties of rice were studied in field condition to evaluate their performance. R-305 said to be most efficient in productivity. The statistical analysis is required since other varieties are equally competent. Since ,Rice is a water intensive cultivation, plants were may be under water stress. This angle is also to examined. YES</p> <p>In Abstract, treatment word had been used, should not be used in field studies. Needs revision.</p> <p>NO. there no Results and Discussion Section in MS. Tables are there but not described in Results. It was given in materials and Method section.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory language wise	
Optional/General comments	MS is to be re-structured With Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, References.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. K. Mohanty
Department, University & Country	Khallikote University, India