

## ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	<b>InternationalJournalof Plant&amp; SoilScience</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_98868</b>
Titleof theManuscript:	<b>Biocontrolagentsagainstpostharvestdecayinfruitsandvegetables:Areview</b>
Typeof the Article	<b>ReviewArticle</b>

### **Generalguidelinefor PeerReviewprocess:**

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolicystatesthat**NO**manuscriptsshouldberejectedonlyonthebasisof'**lackofN ov elty**',providedthemanuscriptis scientificallyrobustandtechnicallysound.ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthis link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

## ReviewForm 1.7

### PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. This synthesis study will make it possible to make an inventory of the results obtained on the biological fights against these various pathogens and their manifestations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The title should be rephrased.</li> <li>- Proposed title: Assessment of the action of bio-control agents against post-harvest decay in fruits and vegetables.</li> <li>- Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. But the abstract is poorly structured.</li> <li>- It does not present the methodology used in this study.</li> <li>- The problem of this study was not clearly stated.</li> <li>- The abstract must be concluded with one or two sentences.</li> </ul> <p>No. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. The author hasn't mentioned Material and Methods, Results and Discussion parts.</p> <p>The manuscript will be scientifically sound after incorporating reviewers' comments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author must revise the presentation of certain references cited in this section.</li> <li>- In total, 92 references have been presented in this section by the author, some of which have not been formulated and do not show the date of their publication.</li> <li>- Of this total, around 17 references were published before 2000 is 17. While around fifty (around 52) references were published between 2000 and 2015. Only around twenty (20) references were published after 2015.</li> <li>- The author must ensure that only the references of the authors cited in the manuscript are presented here in this section.</li> </ul> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author must clearly distinguish the end of the introduction of the manuscript from the part which presents the BIBLIOGRAPHIC SYNTHESIS on the pathogens and their mode of action or manifestation.</li> <li>- The problem of this study was not clearly stated.</li> <li>- The objective or objectives of this study have not been specified.</li> </ul> <p><b>MATERIAL AND METHODS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This part was not mentioned in the manuscript.</li> <li>- The author must present the methodology used to achieve the objectives of this study.</li> <li>- The author must present the research tools used.</li> </ul> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This part was not mentioned in the manuscript.</li> <li>- The author must clearly organize his manuscript by presenting the results obtained from his research under this heading.</li> <li>- These results obtained must be discussed by the author.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Koudegnan Comlan Mawussi
Department, University & Country	University of Lomé, Togo