

# Original Research Article

## **Influence of Calcium and Gibberellic Acid on Growth, Yield and Economics of Summer Groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.)**

### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted during **Zaid 2022** at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P) to determine the Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on growth, yield and economics of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). The results showed that treatment 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm)] recorded significantly higher plant height (60.4 cm), more dry weight (45.95g), maximum number of nodules/plant (16.4), maximum number of pods/plant (24.60), maximum number of kernels/pod (1.93), higher seed index (37.8 g), higher seed yield (2.02t/ha), higher haulm yield (4.11 t/ha) and higher harvest index (32.83 %). Maximum gross returns (1,25,778.00 INR/ha), maximum net returns (87,853.50 INR/ha) and highest benefit cost ratio (2.32) was also recorded in treatment 9[Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm)] as compared to other treatments.

Keywords: Groundnut, Calcium, Gibberellic acid, Growth, Yield and Economic

### **1. Introduction**

The oilseed sector plays an important role in India's agriculture and economy. Nine oil annual seed crops serve as the main source of edible oil (Reference). Among soyabean, peanuts, canola and mustard are the main culprits. (change word) Oilseeds are grown mainly on poor soils with a lot of rain. Peanuts are prized for their high oil content and edible seeds. It is the fourth most important source of edible oil and the third most important source (Reference). The world's most important vegetable protein source. (please correct grammar and line construction) Contains 42-52% oil and 22-30% protein on a dry seed basis, phosphorus, calcium, magnesium and potassium (Reference). Peanut are not only a source of income for farmers, but also an excellent source inexpensive source of high-quality dietary protein and oil found in many Ghanaian diets (Asibuo et al., 2008). (Reference of Ghana

36 suddenly while talking about Indian productivity does not make sense, if you must, also give  
37 reference of other places around the world)

38 Groundnut is not only an important oilseed crop of India but also an important agricultural  
39 export commodity. Globally, Groundnut covers 315 lakh hectares with the production of  
40 536 lakh tonnes with the productivity of 1701 kg/ha (FAOSTAT,  
41 2020).(PLAGIARISED)With annual all-season coverage of 55.71 lakh hectares, globally,  
42 India ranks first in Groundnut area under cultivation and is the second largest producer in  
43 the world with 102 lakh tonnes with productivity of 1831 kg/ha in 2020-21(Reference). In  
44 Kharif 2021-22(mention in English the months), groundnut production was 82.54 lakh  
45 tonnes in an area of 49.14 lakh hectares(Reference). Groundnut is cultivated in one or more  
46 (kharif, rabi and summer) seasons (name the seasons in English), but nearly 90% of  
47 acreage(??) and production comes from kharif crop (June-  
48 October).(PLAGIARISED)During 2019-20 total area coverage under groundnut in Uttar  
49 Pradesh 93822.00 hectares with a production of 88371 tonnes and the productivity 940kg/ha  
50 (DAC,2020).(please correct grammar and line construction)

51 Despite the importance of this crop, yields remain below 1.0 t/ha, a long way off. Less than  
52 potential yield of 2-3t/ha.This impacted peanut production, income welfare of peanut  
53 farmers.It is not very clear whether this problem of low yields is as a result of declining soil  
54 fertility or changes in climatic condition. Calcium is a soil nutrient deficient in Ghanaian  
55 soils.(PLAGIARISED)(Reference of Ghana suddenly while talking about Indian  
56 productivity does not make sense, if you must, also give reference of other places around the  
57 world). A lack of calcium increases the rate of seed breakage (empty pods or “pops”) and  
58 improperly packed pods (Ntareetal., 2008). It also leads to aborted or shrivelled fruit,  
59 including darkened plumules and production of pods without seed (Singh and Oswalt,  
60 1995).(PLAGIARISED)A sufficient amount of about it(??) should be present in the soil  
61 from early flowering in crop production (Kamara, et al., 2011).

62 Effect of PGRs (please write in full and not acronyms when mentioning for the  
63 first time) in manipulating physiological processes in plant production, germination,  
64 vitality, absorption of nutrients from soil, photosynthesis, respiration, degradation of  
65 assimilates, growth inhibition, defoliation, post-harvest ripening [(Rahman and Nath  
66 (1993),Hossainet al. (2015)].

67 Gibberellins are large group of plant hormones that stand alongside auxins are one  
68 of the main groups of plant regulators (Bethke, 1998). They are all physiologically  
69 different activity and structure, and the firstgibberellin identified was gibberellic acid

70 (GA<sub>3</sub>) (Reference). Gibberellins widely involved in all stages of plant growth and  
71 development, from seed germination to senescence. They promote seed germination,  
72 stimulate stem elongation, leaf enlargement, flowering, pollen and seed development,  
73 retardation and inhibition of maturation, aging (Mshelmbula, *et al.*, 2021). Given the above  
74 facts, the present investigation entitled, “Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on the  
75 growth, yield and economics of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)”

## 76 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

77 This experiment was conducted at the Crop Research Farm during 2022 zaid season (mention  
78 in English the months). Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of  
79 Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P). The soil of the field constituting a  
80 part of central gangetic alluvium is neutral and deep. The soil of the experimental field was  
81 sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low level of organic carbon  
82 (0.62%), available N (225 Kg/ha), P (38.2 kg/ha), K (240.7 kg/ha) and zinc (2.32 mg/kg).  
83 Treatment consists of three calcium stages (20, 30, 40 kg/ha) and gibberellic acid (40, 80,  
84 120 ppm/ha). Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 3 repeats of  
85 10 treatments. The treatment combinations are T1- Ca (20 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (40 ppm), T2- Ca  
86 (20 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (80 ppm), T3- Ca (20 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm), T4- Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>  
87 (40 ppm), T5- Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (80 ppm), T6 - Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm), T7- Ca  
88 (40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (40 ppm), T8- Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (80 ppm), T9- Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>  
89 (120 ppm), T10- Control N:P:K (20:60:40 Kg/ha). The growth, yield and economics was  
90 recorded at 80 DAS from randomly selected plants in each plot. The data were calculated and  
91 analysed by following statistical methods. Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## 92 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 93 Growth parameters

#### 94 Plant height (cm)

95 The data revealed that significantly higher plant height (60.43 cm) was recorded in treatment  
96 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120  
97 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)]  
98 (Table 1). The significant and higher plant height was observed with the application of  
99 calcium (40 kg/ha) calcium increases plant nutrient supply, played an important role in  
100 photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, protein synthesis and synthesis of growth stimulators,  
101 consequences of cell division, and cell elongation which would have resulted in increased  
102 height. These similar results are in agreement with those of Mansinghet *al.* (2018).  
103 Additionally, higher plant heights were observed when GA<sub>3</sub> was used (120 ppm) could be due

104 to the application of gibberellic acid via leaves, rise the length of the hypocotyl and the  
105 length of the two nodes immediately above as a result, it affects the height of the plant.

106 **These results are Emongor (2007).**(please correct grammar and line construction)

107

#### 108 **Number of nodules/plant**

109 The data found that significantly higher number of nodules/plant (16.40 nodules/plant) was  
110 recorded in treatment 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6 [Ca  
111 (30kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca  
112 (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)] (Table 1).Significantly higher number of nodules/plant was  
113 observed when applying calcium (40kg/ha), may be due to this using 25, 50, 75 and 100%  
114 gypsum as a Ca source. **Peanut root weight, shoot weight and nodule number should be**  
115 **increased. Increased nitrogen in seed and haulm.**(Line does not make any sense)These  
116 findings were in consistent with the reports of researchers **Ullah et al. (2019)**.  
117 Additionally,highernumber of nodules/plant was observed with the application of GA<sub>3</sub>  
118 (120ppm), which might be due to foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> increases plant vitality and  
119 strengthens stems. Current results are close proximity to **Senthilet al. (2004)**.

#### 120 **Plant dry weight (g)**

121 Results revealed that significantly higher plant dry weight (45.71 g) was recorded in treatment  
122 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6 [Ca (30kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)]  
123 which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)] (Table  
124 1).Significant and greatest plant dry weight was observed with calcium application (40kg/ha),  
125 possibly due to the application of calcium sources, which increased the total dry weight and  
126 yield of peanuts due to a reduction in percentage of unfilled seeds. There were similar  
127 reports as agreed by **Kamara et al. (2011)**. Further, higher plant dry weight was observed with  
128 the application of GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm), this may be because GA<sub>3</sub> is one of these growth regulators  
129 it as a positive effect on plant as it promotes vegetative growth and ultimately increased plant  
130 dry weight. Similar findings were confirmed by **Islam et al. (2021)**.

#### 131 **Crop Growth Rate (g/m<sup>2</sup>/day)**

132 The data recorded that during 60-80 DAS, significantly higher crop growth rate  
133 (17.85 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) was recorded in treatment 4 [Ca (30kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>(40ppm),and were not  
134 statistically equivalent value found.

135 **Relative Growth Rate (g/g/day)**

136 The data revealed that during 60 – 80 DAS, significantly highest relative growth rate  
137 (0.0183 g/g/day) was recorded intreatment 10[control (RDF)], and were not statistically  
138 equivalent value found.(?? Grammatical error)

139 **Yield Attributes:**

140 **Number of pods/plant**

141 The data found that significantly highernumber of pods/plant (24.60) was recorded in the  
142 treatment 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)] among all the treatments (Table 2). more  
143 pods/plant was observed withcalcium application (40kg/ha), this might be due to soils that  
144 have been amended with gypsum as a calcium source.Contributed to better development of  
145 peanut pods and kernels, leading to higher number of pods/plant. Similar findings were  
146 earlier reported by **Kabieret al. (2013)**

147 **Number of kernels/pod**

148 The data showed that significantly higher kernels/Pod (1.93) were recorded in the  
149 treatment 9 [Ca(40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)]. However, the treatment 6 [Ca(30 kg/ha) +  
150 GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9[Ca(40 kg/ha) +  
151 GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher kernels/pod was observed with the  
152 application of calcium (40kg/ha), this might be due to calcium maintaining cell integrity,  
153 membrane permeability activatesand participates in a number of enzymes involved in cell  
154 division, protein synthesis and carbohydrate transfer, resulted in development of  
155 kernels/pod in plant. Similar results were also noticed by **Rajanarasimhaet al. (2021)**.  
156 Further higher kernels/pod was observed with application of GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm), which might  
157 be due to the plant growth regulators such as gibberellic acid may be involved in formation  
158 of seeds in pods and their optimum nutrition resulted in fewer number of aborted seeds  
159 and thus maximizes fertile seeds/pods survival for oilseed rape and mustard. This result  
160 corroborates the one reported by **Akteret al. (2007)**.

161 **Seed index (g):**

162 The data inferred that significantly higherseed index (37.80 g) was recorded in the  
163 treatment 9 [Ca(40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6[Ca(30 kg/ha) +  
164 GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with the treatment 9[Ca(40 kg/ha) +  
165 GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher seed index was observed using calcium  
166 (40kg/ha), this may be because peanuts are a calcium-loving crop and Ca above 90%in  
167 peanut pods is absorbed during the pod formation stage, resulting in calcium absorption

168 from the soil important for peanut embryo and pod development. Similar results were also  
169 supported by **Yang et al. (2017)**. Further the higher seed index was observed with  
170 application of GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm), this might be due to GA<sub>3</sub> prolonging the grain filling time as  
171 a result, it ensures long-term transport of photo-assimilates into grains, increases the 100  
172 seed weight. This is in accordance with previous findings by **Wang et al. (2006)**.

#### 173 **Seed yield (t/ha):**

174 The data stated that significant and higher seed yield (2.02 kg/ha) was recorded in the  
175 treatment 9 [Ca(40 kg/ha)+ GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)]. However, the treatment 6 [Ca(30 kg/ha) +  
176 GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) +  
177 GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher seed yield was observed with application  
178 of calcium (40kg/ha) might be due to the fact that calcium plays an important role in  
179 reproduction development of peanut crops with increased seed yield. Similar findings were  
180 in agreed (Grammatical error) with **Sagaret al. (2020)**. Further the higher seed yield was  
181 observed with application of GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm), which may be due to the positive effect of  
182 GA<sub>3</sub> on improving yield by transferring more photo-assimilates to seeds. This is in  
183 agreement with the results of **Varshitha et al. (2022)**.

#### 184 **Stover yield (t/ha)**

185 The data reported that significant and higher haulm yield (4.11 t/ha) was recorded with  
186 the treatment 9 [Ca(40 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>(120 ppm)]. However, the treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha)  
187 + GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)] which was found to be statistically at par to the treatment 9 [Ca (40  
188 kg/ha) +GA<sub>3</sub> (120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher haulm yield was observed with  
189 the application of calcium (40kg/ha), which may be attributed to increased pod yield and  
190 haulm and higher Ca concentrations with increased gypsum content as a source of  
191 calcium, as a result the uptake of this nutrient by pod and haulm is increased, ultimately  
192 resulting in recording. Similar results were supported by **Patroet al. (2016)**. Further the  
193 higher haulm yield was observed with the use of GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm). Gibberellic acid leads to  
194 increased plant height and branch numbers which also translated into higher number of  
195 leaves which invariably made up the haulm. These results were in conformity with those  
196 of **Harb (1992)**.

#### 197 **Harvest index (%)**

198 Significant and higher harvest index (32.83 %) was recorded in the treatment 9  
199 (Ca(40 kg/ha) +GA<sub>3</sub>(120ppm)]. However, the treatments 3 [Ca (20kg/ha)+ GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm)  
200 and treatment 5 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>(80ppm)] and treatment 6 [Ca (30kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (120  
201 ppm)] and treatment 7 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub> (40 ppm)] and treatment 8 [Ca (40kg/ha) +

202 GA<sub>3</sub> (80 ppm)] was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) +GA<sub>3</sub>(120  
203 ppm).(Table 1).Significant and higher harvest index was observed with the application of  
204 GA<sub>3</sub> (120ppm), which might be due to the higher harvest index indicating GA<sub>3</sub> application  
205 acceleration of anabolic feed to the sink. Similar findings have also been reported earlier  
206 by **Akteret al.** (2007).

207 **Economics:**

208 The results stated that maximum gross return (1,25,778.00 INR/ha), higher net  
209 return (87,853.50 INR/ha) and higher benefit cost ratio (2.32) was recorded in treatment 9  
210 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA<sub>3</sub>(120ppm)] as compared to other treatments (Table 3).Significant and  
211 maximum B:C ratio was observed with the application of Calcium (40kg/ha), which might  
212 be due to the application of gypsum as a source of Ca increase net returns and B:C ratio of  
213 harvesting peanuts with optimal nutrient utilization by gypsum during harvest leads to  
214 better growth and development of pods. Similar results were found by**Sagaret al.** (2020).

**Table 1: Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on growth parameters of groundnut**

S. No	Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of nodules/plant	Plant dry weight (g)	CGR (g/m <sup>2</sup> /day)	RGR (g/g/day)
1	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	56.60	13.73	41.90	17.23	0.0143
2	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	58.23	14.70	43.15	17.39	0.0140
3	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	58.90	15.03	43.65	17.47	0.0140
4	Ca 30 kg/ ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	57.13	14.06	42.44	17.85	0.0147
5	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	59.26	15.53	43.95	16.84	0.0130
6	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	60.10	16.16	45.95	17.57	0.0130
7	Ca 40 kg/ ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	58.03	14.23	42.73	17.77	0.0140
8	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	59.53	15.86	44.84	17.79	0.0137
9	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	60.43	16.40	45.71	17.46	0.0130
10	Control (RDF)	55.23	13.16	41.06	16.49	0.0183
	F-test	S	S	S	NS	NS
	SEm(±)	0.13	0.07	0.15	0.24	0.0002
	CD at 5%	0.41	0.23	0.45	0.72	0.0007

**Table 2: Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on yield and yield attributes of groundnut**

<b>S. No</b>	<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Number of pods/plant</b>	<b>Number of kernels/pod</b>	<b>Seed index (g)</b>	<b>seed yield (t/ha)</b>	<b>Haulm yield (t/ha)</b>	<b>Harvest index (%)</b>
1	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	20.15	1.27	31.26	1.62	3.54	31.47
2	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	22.45	1.48	33.63	1.78	3.75	32.17
3	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	23.08	1.59	35.20	1.82	3.82	32.31
4	Ca 30 kg/ ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	21.23	1.33	31.83	1.66	3.59	31.63
5	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	23.55	1.66	35.90	1.85	3.88	32.28
6	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	23.95	1.87	37.66	1.96	4.10	32.33
7	Ca 40 kg/ ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	21.57	1.41	33.03	1.73	3.63	32.31
8	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	23.87	1.77	36.43	1.89	3.93	32.56
9	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	24.60	1.93	37.80	2.01	4.11	32.83
10	Control (RDF)	18.63	1.20	31.13	1.58	3.46	31.35
	F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S
	SEm(±)	0.19	0.02	0.16	18.19	24.75	0.19
	CD at 5%	0.57	0.07	0.50	0.05	0.07	0.58

**Table 3: Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on the economics of groundnut**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Treatment combinations</b>	<b>Cost of cultivation (INR/ha)</b>	<b>Gross returns (INR/ha)</b>	<b>Net returns (INR/ha)</b>	<b>B:C ratio</b>
1	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	32,515.80	93,504.00	60,988.20	1.88
2	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	34,915.80	1,02,748.00	67,832.20	1.94
3	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	37,315.80	1,05,284.00	67,968.20	1.82
4	Ca 30 kg/ ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	32,820.16	95,920.00	63,099.80	1.92
5	Ca30 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	36,220.16	1,06,754.00	70,533.80	1.95
6	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	37,620.16	1,13,044.00	75,423.80	2.00
7	Ca 40 kg/ ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 40 ppm	33,124.52	99,866.00	66,741.50	2.01
8	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 80 ppm	35,524.52	1,09,062.00	73,537.50	2.07
9	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA <sub>3</sub> 120 ppm	37,924.52	1,25,778.00	87,853.50	2.32
10	Control (RDF)	29,507.20	74,552.00	45,044.80	1.53

## 1 CONCLUSION (Conclusion should include a few more lines)

2 Based on above findings it can be concluded that application of calcium at 40 kg/ha  
3 along with foliar application of Gibberellic acid 120 ppm (Treatment 9), has performed  
4 better in growth, yield and benefit cost ratio.

## 7 REFERENCES

- 8 **1. Asibuo, J.Y., Akromah, R., Adu-Dapaah, H.K. and Safo-Katanka, O, (2008).**  
9 Evaluation of nutritional quality of groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L.) from Ghana.  
10 *African Journal of Food and Agriculture Nutrition and development.* **8(2):**133-150.
- 11 **2. Bethke, P.C., Jones, R.L. (1998).** Gibberellin signaling. *Current Opinion inPlant*  
12 *Biology.* **1:** 440–446.
- 13 **3. Department of Agriculture and Cooperation (2020).**  
14 <https://agricoop.nic.in>
- 15 **4. Emongor,V. (2007).**Gibberellicacid (GA<sub>3</sub>) influence on vegetative growth,  
16 nodulation and yield of cowpea (*Vignaunguiculata*L. Walp). *Journal of Agronomy.*  
17 **6(4):** 509-517.
- 18 **5. Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database (2020).**  
19 <http://www.fao.org.in>
- 20 **6. Gomez K.A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984).** Statistical procedures for agricultural  
21 research: *John Wiley and Sons. New Delhi,* 680.
- 22 **7. Hossain, M.I., Mannam, M.A. and Kareem, M.A. (2015).** Salicylic acid and  
23 gibberellic acid ameliorate the adverse effect of salinity on chickpea, *Bangladesh*  
24 *Agronomy Journal.***18(1):** 81-88.
- 25 **8. Harb EZ (1992).** Effect of soaking seeds in some growth regulators and  
26 micronutrients on growth, some chemical constituents and yield of faba beans and  
27 cotton plants. supplement, *Bulletin, Faculty of Agriculture-Cairo University***43:** 429-  
28 452.
- 29 **9. Islam MR, Hasan M, Akter N, Akhtar S. (2021).**Cytokinin and gibberellic acid  
30 alleviate the effect of waterlogging in mungbean (*Vignaradiata*L). *Journal Clean*  
31 *WAS.* **5:**21-26.

- 32 **10. Kamara, A., Olympio, and Asibuo, J. (2011).** Effect of calcium and phosphorus  
33 fertilizer on the growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L.). *International*  
34 *Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Science*.**1**(8) pp. 326-331.
- 35 **11. Kabir R, Yeasmin S, Islam AKMM, Sarkar MAR (2013).** Effect of phosphorus,  
36 calcium and boron on the growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.),  
37 *International Journal of Bio-Science and Bio-Technology*, **5**(3): 51-60.
- 38 **12. Kamara, E. G., Olympio, N. S. and Asibuo, J. Y. (2011).** Effect of calcium and  
39 phosphorus fertilizer on the growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.).  
40 *International Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Sciences*, **1**:326-331.
- 41 **13. Mazid, M. and N. Naqvi, (2014).** Key approach of plant growth regulator  
42 application in searching of best time for enhancing nitrogen fixation capacity of  
43 chickpea cultivar DCP 92-3. *Unique Journal of Ayurvedic and Herbal*  
44 *Medicines*,**2**(05): 8-18.
- 45 **14. Mshelmbula, B.P., Ogale, E., Bello, S., Kana, H.A., Sulayman, M.Y., Allahnana,**  
46 **M.H., Sirajo, S.A. (2021).** Impact of gibberellic acid ( $GA_3$ ) on growth, yield and  
47 nodulation on two accessions of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *Journal of*  
48 *Applied Sciences and Environmental Manage.* **25**(8):1435-1439.
- 49 **15. Mary Varshitha K, Vikram Singh, Shruthi G G, and Singh A.C. (2022).** Effect of  
50 plant growth regulators and spacing on growth and yield of chickpea  
51 (*Cicerarietinum*L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate*  
52 *Change*.**12**(10): 614-619.
- 53 **16. Mansingh, M. D. I. and Suresh S. (2019).** Effect of difference sources and levels of  
54 lime application on yield, nutrient availability and uptake of rice in acidic soils.  
55 *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology*, **7**: 73-87.
- 56 **17. Ntare, B.R., Diallo, A.T., Ndjeunga, A.T.W. and aliyar, F. (2008).** Groundnut  
57 seed production manual. Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India. *International*  
58 *Crops Research institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics* (ICRISAT). 20pp
- 59 **18. Patro H, Ray M. (2016).** Effect of rate and time of gypsum application on yield,  
60 economics and nutrient uptake in groundnut. *New Agriculturist*. **27**(2): 1-6.
- 61 **19. Rahman, M.A. and Nath, K.K. (1993).** Effect of seed treatment of IAA and  $GA_3$   
62 on sex expression, fruit character and yield of bottle gourd, *Bangladesh Journal of*  
63 *Sciences*. **5**(2):57-63.

- 64 **20. Rajanarasimha M, Rajesh Singh and Ekta Singh (2021).** Effect of sulphur and  
65 calcium on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.), *The Pharma*  
66 *Innovation Journal*.**10**(9): 1685-1688.
- 67 **21. Singh, F. and Oswalt, D.L. (1995).** Groundnut production practices. Skill  
68 development series number 3. ICRSAT Training and Fellowship Program  
69 *International Crops Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics*, Patancheru, Andra Pradesh  
70 502 324, India
- 71 **22. Sagar D.R.M.S., Dawson J, and Ramasahayam, Reddy U K. (2020).** Effect of  
72 phosphorus and gypsum on growth, yield and economics of groundnut (*Arachis*  
73 *hypogea* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*.  
74 **9**(10): 1635-1638.
- 75 **23. Senthil NK, Jaya KR. (2004).** Effect of growth regulators on yield and quality of  
76 greengram (*Vigna radiate*) under graded levels of nitrogen. *Madras Agricultural*  
77 *Journal*, **91** (1-3):92-95.
- 78 **24. Ullah, M. A., Hyder, S. I. and Ahmed, R. (2019).** Effect of gypsum application on  
79 groundnut growth and nodules under rain fed condition. *International Journal of*  
80 *Research in Agriculture and Forestry*, **6**:8-13.
- 81 **25. Wang, F., Cheng, F., and Zhang, G. (2006).** The relationship between grain filling  
82 and hormone content as affected by genotype and source-sink relation. *Plant Growth*  
83 *Regul.* 49, 1-8. Doi: 10.1007/s10725-006-0017-3.
- 84 **26. Yang, S., Li, L., Zhang, J., Geng, Y., Guo, F., Wang, J., Meng, J., Sui, N., Wan,**  
85 **S. and Li, X. (2017).** Transcriptome and Differential Expression Profiling Analysis  
86 of The Mechanism of Ca<sup>2+</sup> Regulation in Peanut (*Arachishypogaea*) pod  
87 development. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **8**: 1609.
- 88