

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98955
Title of the Manuscript:	"Effect of Nano zinc and Foliar application of Boron on growth and yield of Fingermillet"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Please redo.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Please see comments enclosed in the article	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Too many quotes, in fact the author needs to redo the article in own words rather than fill the paper with references.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	There are over 250 grammatical mistakes, passive passages, spelling errors, kindly correct them Conclusion is very poorly drawn and abrupt too.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average, need to revise the whole article	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Then entire paper is haphazard in alignments spacings etc. kindly take help of MS word and redo.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usha Chirala
Department, University & Country	Andhra University, India