

Original Research Article

Response of Bio fertilizers and Phosphorus on Yield and Economics of Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during kharif season of 2022, at crop research farm Department of Agronomy at Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.), India. The objective of the study was to assess the effect of response of bio fertilizers and Phosphorus on yield and economics pearl millet. The treatments consisted of 3 levels of bio fertilizers (20 g/kg Azotobacter, 20g/kg Azospirillum, 20 g/kg Azotobacter + Azospirillum) and 3 levels of phosphorus (35, 40 and 45 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with the 10 treatments combinations and replicated thrice. The soil in experimental field was sandy loam texture, having alkaline reaction (pH 7.7) with very low organic carbon (0.44%), available higher N (171.48 kg/ha), P (27.0 kg/ha) and higher level of K (291.2 kg/ha). The results showed significantly higher grain yield and yield attributes viz. ear head length (25.25 cm), number of grains/ear head (2010.9), grain yield (3.25 t/ha) and Stover yield (6.27 t/ha). Maximum gross returns (113750.00 INR/ha), net returns (81010.00 INR/ha) and Benefit – cost ratio (2.47) was found in treatment (T₉) with the application of 20 g/ kg Azotobacter + Azospirillum + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus. Conclusion is missing here. Please add.

INTRODUCTION

The primary crop grown in the country's dry, arid, and semi-arid regions is pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). India contributes 42% of the global production of pearl millet, making it the greatest producer in the world. In India, pearl millet is primarily grown as a rainfed crop in a variety of soils, climates, and essential desert zones. In India, 8.06 million tonnes of pearl millet were produced in 2015–16 on 7.12 million hectares at a yield of 1132 kg/ha. Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, and Haryana are the main states in India that produce pearl millet. In order to increase plant density of present hybrids and ensure sustainable output, fertility management needs to be improved. (Venkata et al., 2001).

Comment [itel S6631]: please italics

Comment [itel S6632]: add reference

Comment [itel S6633]: please remove that fullstop before reference. it's not needed

Next to nitrogen, phosphorus is the element that most restricts the growth and development of crops. It is crucial for ~~the~~ metabolism of plant energy, photosynthesis, nitrogen fixation, synthesis of nucleic acids, and regulation of enzymes. Phosphorus influences root growth, flowering, and yield qualities, which promotes the growth of plants. Additionally, its uptake is constrained by the poor solubility of P in soil. (Singh *et al.*, 2017).

Phosphorous, in addition to nitrogen, is essential for boosting production. It plays a crucial role in the energy transmission for living cells via ATP's high-energy phosphate bonds. Lack of phosphorus is the primary factor limiting plant growth because it encourages root formation, which improves uptake of other crucial nutrients. (Dharmendra and Umesha 2022).

In the past ten years, bio fertilizers have become ~~more and~~ more popular in the fields of agricultural ~~and~~ food production due to their renewable, affordable, and environmentally friendly character. Chemical pesticides and fertilizers have had a significant negative impact on the environment. Bio fertilizers will aid in the resolution of issues such rising soil salinity and chemical runoff from agricultural fields. The usage of chemical fertilizers is reduced, soil fertility is increased, and crop output is increased thanks to their biological activity in the rhizosphere. Azotobacter is a helpful nitrogen-fixing free-living (no symbiosis) bacteria that is said to fix 20–60 kg/ha of nitrogen per year in soil. Auxin, cytokinin, and gibberellin are plant growth-promoting metabolites, enzymes, and hormones produced by Azotobacter, which was the first and is the most popular bio fertilizer for several plants like maize, wheat, sorghum, and rice in addition to fixing atmospheric nitrogen. (Forlaine *et al.*, 1998).

By affecting the intake of minerals and increasing the generation of dry matter, Azospirillum promotes plant growth. Additionally, it aids in enhancing water absorption and raising crop yields. (Goudet *et al.*, 2021).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during *kharif* season of 2022. The experiment was conducted in randomized ~~complete~~ block design and it consist of 10 treatment combinations with three replications and was laid out with different treatments assigned randomly in each replication. The soil in experimental field was sandy loam texture, having alkaline reaction (pH 7.7) with very low organic carbon (0.44%), available higher N (171.48 kg/ha), P (27.0 kg/ha) and higher level of K (291.2 kg/ha). treatment combination were T₁ - 20 g/kg Azotobacter + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus; T₂ - 20 g/kg Azotobacter

Comment [itel S6634]: Remove bold on all references

+ 40 kg/ha Phosphorus : T₃ - 20 g/kg Azotobacter + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus; T₄ - 20 g/kg *Azospirillum* + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus ; T₅ - 20 g/kg *Azospirillum* + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus; T₆ - 20 g/kg *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus ; T₇ - 20 g/kg Azotobacter + *Azospirillum* + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus ; T₈ - 20 g/kg Azotobacter + *Azospirillum* + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus ; T₉ - 20 g/kg Azotobacter + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus ; T₁₀ - Control (RDF – 80 – 40 – 40 NPK kg/ha) . The observations were recorded on yield and yield parameters *i.e.* Ear head length (cm), Number of Grains/ear head, Test weight (g), Grain yield (t/ha), Stover yield (t/ha), Harvest index (%), Gross Return (INR/ha), Net Return (INR/ha), Benefit: Cost Ratio (B: C).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Yield Parameters

Treatment with application of 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus was significantly recorded maximum ear head length (25.25 cm). However, the treatments 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus (23.87 cm) and 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus (22.55 cm) which was found to be statistically at par with 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus. The yield attributes were remarkably improved and gained significantly high ear head length response of biofertilizer and phosphorus application. The application of Phosphorus can be related to an overall improvement in plant growth as indicated by greater dry matter accumulation, which may result from a higher supply of phosphorus. The results were similar to Reddy *et al.* (2022). Treatment of application of 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus was significantly reported maximum grains/ear head (2010.9). However, the treatment 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus (1981.0) which were found to be statistically at par with 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus. There was no significant difference among the treatments. However, highest test weight (6.63 g) was recorded with the treatments 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus whereas, minimum test weight (5.47 g) was recorded with 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus. Treatment with application of 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus significantly recorded higher grain yield (3.25 t/ha). However, the treatments with (3.15 t/ha) in 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus which were found to be statistically at par with 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus. The yield attributes were remarkably improved and gained significantly high

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

grain yield response of bio fertilizer and phosphorus application. Increase in grain yield per hectare in response to increasing levels of P is due to increased number of grains per cob (Mahmud *et al.* 2003) Treatment with application of 20 g/ kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorous Significantly recorded highest Stover yield (6.27 t/ha). However, the treatments with (5.64 t/ha) in 20 g/ kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 40 kg/ha Phosphorous (5.43 t/ha) which were found to be statistically at par with 20 g/ kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorous.

Phosphorus plays an important role in the production of pearl millet. The significant increase in pearl millet grain and stover yield was mainly a result of higher growth and the subsequent rise in the various yield attributes mentioned above. This major benefit could be due to P, which is well known for its function as "Energy currency" and plays a crucial part in the development and energy transformation in many crucial metabolic processes in the plant. Similar outcomes were reported by Singh *et al.* (2019). There was no significant difference among the treatments. However, highest Harvest index (36.94 %) was recorded with the treatments 20 g/ kg *Azotobacter* + 35 kg/ha Phosphorous whereas, minimum Harvest index (32.55 %) was recorded with Control (RDF 80-40-40 N-P-K kg/ha).

Economics

Economic viability of crop cultivation are mostly of crop production with less production cost higher cost of cultivation (32740.00 INR /ha) was observed in T₉ 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorous. Higher gross returns (Rs. 113750.00 INR /ha), net returns (Rs. 81010.00 INR /ha), Benefit cost ratio (2.47) was reported in 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + 45 kg/ha Phosphorous

CONCLUSION

From the results, it is concluded that with the application of 20 g/kg *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* and 45 kg/ha Phosphorus (Treatment 9) was found more productive (3.25 t/ha) in Pearl millet crop and it can be recommended to farmers after further trails.

REFERENCES:

- Alias, Ahmad, Muhammad Usman, EhsanUllah, and Ejaz Ahmed Warraich.(2003). "Effects of Different Phosphorus Levels on the Growth and Yield of Two Cultivars of Maize (*Zeamays L.*)."*International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*5 (4):632-634.
- Dharmendra, K., &Umesha, C. (2022). Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusinecoracana L.*). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 11(4): 1450-1453.
- Forlain,G.,Pastorelli,R.,Branzoni,M.andFavilli,F.1998.Rootcolonizationefficiency,plantgrowth promotingactivityandpotentiallyrelatedpropertiesinplantassociatedbacteria.*JournalofGenetics andBreeding*,49:343-351.
- Goud, B. S. S., Singh, R., & Kan, W. (2021).Effect of seed inoculants and organic manures on growth and yield of organic pearl millet (*Pennisetumglaucum l.*).*Asian J MicrobiolBiotechnol Environ Sci*, 23(2), 253-7.
- Himanshi,H.P.andShroff,J.C.2020.Growth,YieldandEconomicsofFingermillet[*Eleusinecoracana(L.)Gaertn*]asInfluencedbyIntegratedNutrientManagement.*InternationalJournalofCurrentMicrobiologyandAppliedSciences*,11;724-729.
- Katiyar, A. K., ShailendraPratap Singh, BrajeshPrajapati, and P. K. Mishra. 2017. "Performance of RainfedPearlmillet (*Pennisetumglaucum*) as Influenced by Intercropping, Fertility and Moisture Conservation Practices." *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 6 (6):2946-2951.
- Khafi, H. R., Ramani, B. B., Mehta, A. C., &Pethani, K. V. (2000). Effects of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and spacing on yield and economics of hybrid bajra. *Crop Research (Hisar)*, 20(3), 411-414.
- Krishna, K. Vamshi, C. H. Deepthi, M. Devender Reddy, P. S. Raju, and Arunabha Pal. 2019. "Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Levels on Growth and Yield of Finger Millet [*Eleusinecoracana (L.)*]during Summer." *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*:1-5.
- Marwein BS, Singh R, Chhetri P.2019 Effect of Integrated Nitrogen Management on Yield and Economics of Foxtail Millet Genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*. 8(8):2543-2546.
- Rathod,M.,Bavalgave,V.G.,BhumikaTandelandGudadhe,N.N.2018.EffectofspacingandINM practices on growth, yield and economics of *rabisweet corn (ZeamaysL. var.saccharataSturt)* under south Gujarat condition. *International Journal of ChemicalStudies*6(5):247-250.

- Reddy, V. S. N., Singh, R., & Deepika, C. L. (2022). Effect of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 11(4), 542-545.
- Singh, L., Sharma, P. K., Jajoria, M., Deewan, P., & Verma, R. (2017). Effect of phosphorus and zinc application on growth and yield attributes of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) under rainfed condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(1), 388-391.
- Singh, S., Singh, V., Shukla, R. D., & Yadav, B. (2019). Effect of planting geometry and phosphorus levels on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). *An International Journal*, 14(1), 18-22.
- Venkata Lakshmi, K., Integrated nutrient management for dryland fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)- chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) + coriander (*Coriander sativum* L.) cropping system. M.Sc. (A g.) Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India. (2001).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1. Response of Bio fertilizers and Phosphorus on Yield attributes and Yield of Pearl millet

Sr.no	Treatments	Ear head Length (cm)	No.of Grains/Ear head	Test Weight (g)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Stover yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1	20 g/ kg Azotobacter + 35 kg/ ha Phosphorus	17.29	1597.2	5.47	2.38	4.15	36.94
2	20 g/ kg Azotobacter + 40 kg /ha Phosphorus	19.44	1682.9	6.03	2.31	4.30	35.49
3	20 g/ kgAzotobacter + 45 kg/ ha Phosphorus	20.81	1796.17	6.13	2.22	4.47	33.66
4	20 g /kg Azospirillum + 35 kg /ha Phosphorus	18.05	1613.4	6.00	2.70	4.98	36.22
5	20 g/ kg Azospirillum + 40 kg/ ha Phosphorus	19.85	1747.5	6.43	2.75	5.15	35.23
6	20 g/ kg Azospirillum + 45 kg/ ha Phosphorus	21.52	1891.8	6.40	2.61	5.20	33.80
7	20 g/ kg Azotobacter + Azospirillum + 35 kg/ha Phosphorous	22.55	1902.0	6.63	2.98	5.32	36.79
8	20 g/ kg Azotobacter + Azospirillum + 40 kg/ha Phosphorous	23.87	1981.0	6.53	3.15	5.64	36.05
9	20 g/ kg Azotobacter + Azospirillum + 45 kg/ha Phosphorous	25.25	2010.9	6.27	3.25	6.27	34.26
10	Control (RDF 80-40-40 N-P-K kg/ha)	15.84	1404.8	5.47	1.96	4.13	32.55
	F-test	S	S	NS	S	S	NS
	SEm(±)	0.27	32.00	0.61	0.04	0.27	1.17
	CD (p=0,05)	2.33	95.06	-	0.13	0.80	-

Comment [itel S6635]: seperate with superscripts

Table 2 Response of Bio-fertilizers and Phosphorus on Economics of Pearl Millet.

Sr.no	Treatments	Cost of cultivation (INR/ha)	Gross return (INR/ha)	Net return (INR/ha)	B:C Ratio
1.	20 g/kg <i>Azotobacter</i> + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus	31848.00	83300.00	51452.00	1.61
2.	20 g/kg <i>Azotobacter</i> + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus	32173.00	80850.00	48677.00	1.51
3.	20 g/kg <i>Azotobacter</i> + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus	32500.00	77700.00	45200.00	1.39
4.	20 g/kg <i>Azospirillum</i> + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus	31828.00	94500.00	66672.00	1.96
5.	20 g/kg <i>Azospirillum</i> + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus	32153.00	97125.00	64972.00	2.02
6.	20 g/kg <i>Azospirillum</i> + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus	32480.00	91350.00	58870.00	1.81
7.	20 g/kg <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + 35 kg/ha Phosphorus	32088.00	104300.00	72212.00	2.25
8.	20 g/kg <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + 40 kg/ha Phosphorus	32413.00	110250.00	77837.00	2.44
9.	20 g/kg <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + 45 kg/ha Phosphorus	32740.00	113750.00	81010.00	2.47
10	Control (RDF – 80-40-40 N-P-K kg/ha)	29306.00	68600.00	39294.00	1.34

Comment [itel S6636]: Add LSD and CV